

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.) No(s).4708-4709/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-06-2017 in CRMM No. 35316/2015 02-06-2017 in CRMM No. 35948/2015 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

MANI KANT GARG

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BHAGWAN DASS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 47225/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT IA No. 47422/2017 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

SLP(CrI) No. 4777-4778/2017 (II-B)

Date : 07-12-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajiv K. Garg, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Garg, Adv.
Mr. Lalit Garg, Adv.
Mr. T. L. Garg, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP (CrI) Nos 4708-4709 of 2017

1 The Special Leave Petitions arose from an order dated 2 June 2017 of a Single Judge of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in CRM-M-35316-

2015 and CRM-M-35948-2015. While dismissing an application for anticipatory bail of a third party, the High Court passed the following order :

- “(i) CRM-M-35316-2015 and CRM-M-35948-2015 are dismissed;
- (ii) the SHO, Police Station Kotwali, Bathinda is directed to act according to law qua the valuers Manikant Garg, Garg & Associates, Hospital Road, Bathinda and Kiran & Associates, Power House Road, near Dr. Amit Gupta Hospital, Bathinda and also report compliance about attachment of properties of these valuers.”

2 The grievance of the petitioner is confined to clause (ii) of the order of the High Court referred to above.

3 In the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct that clause (ii) of the order of the High Court noticed above, shall stand set aside.

4 We clarify that this Court has had no occasion to express any opinion in respect of the allegations, if any, against the petitioner and nothing contained in this order shall be construed to prevent the prosecution from taking appropriate steps in accordance with law.

6 The Special Leave Petitions are accordingly disposed of.

7 Pending applications, if any, stand dispised of.

SLP (Crl) Nos 4777-4778 of 2017

1 The Special Leave Petitions arose from an order dated 27 June 2017 of a single Judge of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in CRM-M-35316-2015 and CRM-M-35948-2015. While dismissing an application for anticipatory bail of a third party, the High Court passed the following order :

- “(i) CRM-M-35316-2015 and CRM-M-35948-2015 are dismissed;
- (ii) the SHO, Police Station Kotwali, Bathinda is directed to

act according to law qua the valuers Manikant Garg, Garg & Associates, Hospital Road, Bathinda and Kiran & Associates, Power House Road, near Dr. Amit Gupta Hospital, Bathinda and also report compliance about attachment of properties of these valuers.”

- 2 The grievance of the petitioner is confined to clause (ii) of the order of the High Court referred to above.
- 3 In the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct that the above clause (ii) of the order of the High Court noticed above, shall stand set aside.
- 4 We also clarify that this Court has had no occasion to express any opinion in respect of the allegations, if any, against the petitioner and nothing contained in this order shall be construed to prevent the prosecution from taking appropriate steps in accordance with law.
- 5 The Special Leave Petitions are accordingly disposed of.
- 7 Pending applications, if any, stand dispised of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR