

ITEM NO.31 Court 7 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s).16661/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-09-2015 in CRLMSBA No. 307345/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SATENDRA @ BABLOO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.89636/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.89631/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.89634/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.89637/2021-APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING ORIGINAL VAKALATNAMA/OTHER DOCUMENT)

Date : 02-08-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nagendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This is not a pro-bono matter and yet the petitioner has been legally wrongly advised to seek condonation of delay of 1564 days against an order rejecting a bail application even though he has served a long period in custody. Learned counsel for the petitioner was to move the Allahabad High Court for such a remedy and the bail would have been granted almost for the asking.

We do not want to encourage such tagging with already pending matters where we are deciding the broad principles and instead the appropriate remedy should be availed of before the High Court by the counsel for the petitioner.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

In the end we may say that if the legal aid would have been sought, it would have been provided and we are entertaining legal aid cases where the person does not have means to legal remedy.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

[RASHMI DHYANI]
COURT MASTER

[BEENA JOLLY]
COURT MASTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s).16661/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-09-2015 in CRLMSBA No. 307345/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

SATENDRA @ BABLOO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.89636/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.89631/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.89634/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.89637/2021-APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING ORIGINAL VAKALATNAMA/OTHER DOCUMENT)

Date : 02-08-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nagendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This is not a pro-bono matter and yet the petitioner has been legally wrongly advised to seek condonation of delay of 1564 days against an order rejecting a bail application even though he has served a long period in custody. The appropriate court was to move the Allahabad High Court for such a remedy and the bail would have been granted almost for the asking.

We do not want to encourage such tagging with

already pending matters where we are deciding the broad principles and instead the appropriate remedy should be availed of before the High court by the counsel for the petitioner.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

In the end we may say that if the legal aid would have been sought, it would have been provided and we are entertaining legal aid cases where the person does not have means to legal remedy.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

[RASHMI DHYANI]
COURT MASTER

[BEENA JOLLY]
COURT MASTER (NSH)