

ITEM NO.22 Court 7 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.11727-11728/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-03-2021 in WPC No.8845/2020 13-05-2021 in RP No. 85/2021 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

DSPC ENGINEERING PVT. LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MEACON LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.91033/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.91036/2021-PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND GROUNDS and IA No.91037/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 09-08-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raman Gandhi, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Jain, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order after having heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner at length.

We may, however, note that one grievance made by the petitioner is that in the direction contained in Paragraph 53 of the impugned order no time period has been specified. Towards that objective, a review application was filed but

without depositing costs. If the costs are deposited, we give liberty to the petitioner to move the High Court to specify the time period for compliance of directions in terms of paragraph 53.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI)
COURT MASTER

(POONAM VAID)
COURT MASTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.11727-11728/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-03-2021 in WPC No. 8845/2020 13-05-2021 in RP No. 85/2021 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

DSPC ENGINEERING PVT. LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MEACON LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.91033/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.91036/2021-PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND GROUNDS and IA No.91037/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 09-08-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raman Gandhi, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Jain, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order after having heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner at length.

We may, however, note that one grievance made by the petitioner is that in the direction contained in Paragraph 53 of the impugned order no time period has been specified. Towards that objective, a review application was filed but without depositing costs. If the costs are deposited, we

give liberty to the petitioner to move the High Court to specify the time period for compliance of directions in terms of paragraph 53.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending applications stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI)
COURT MASTER

(POONAM VAID)
COURT MASTER