

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3793/2016

VINOD KUMAR

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ASHOK KUMAR GANDHI

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant as also the learned counsel for the respondent and having perused the judgment passed by the High Court, we see no reason to entertain the instant appeal any further.

However, taking into consideration that the appellant is running a chemist shop in subject premises, we grant him time till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2024 to vacate and hand over vacant possession of the premises in question subject to filing usual undertaking within three weeks from this day in the Registry of this Court.

The appeal is accordingly, disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

New Delhi  
02<sup>nd</sup> November, 2023

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 8972-8973/2017

RAM GOPAL

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

PREM CHAND SHARMA (D) THROUGH HIS LRS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant as also the learned counsel for the respondent(s), we see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment/order.

The appeals are accordingly, disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

New Delhi  
02<sup>nd</sup> November, 2023

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6063/2019

JOGINDER SINGH NAGI

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S. NEW SILVER LINE TRADERS PVT. LTD.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard learned senior counsel for the parties, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

However, taking into consideration that the appellant will have to make an alternate arrangement to vacate and hand over the vacant possession of the premises in question and also keeping in view the nature of occupation, we grant time to the appellant till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2025 subject to filing usual undertaking within three weeks from this day in the Registry of this Court.

Further, though a legal contention with regard to the bona fide need of a Company being considered has been raised in the appeal, keeping in view the time lapse and in the peculiar facts and circumstances of case, we do not propose to go into the same at this stage.

...2/-

However, if such contention arises in any other proceedings, it is left open to be considered in accordance with law.

The appeal is accordingly, disposed of

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

New Delhi  
02<sup>nd</sup> November, 2023

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6064/2019

MANISH KUMAR

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

ASHOK KUMAR & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

However, taking into consideration that the appellant will have to make an alternate arrangement to vacate and hand over the vacant possession of the premises in question, we grant time to the appellant till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2024 subject to filing usual undertaking within three weeks from this day in the Registry of this Court.

The appeal is accordingly, disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

New Delhi  
02<sup>nd</sup> November, 2023

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6073/2019

HARISH KUMAR ANAND

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

GOPAL KRISHNA PURI

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

However, taking into consideration that the appellant will have to make an alternate arrangement to vacate and hand over the vacant possession of the premises in question, we grant time to the appellant till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2024 subject to filing usual undertaking within three weeks from this day in the Registry of this Court.

The appeal is accordingly, disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

New Delhi  
02<sup>nd</sup> November, 2023

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.7

SECTION XIV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 3793/2016

VINOD KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ASHOK KUMAR GANDHI

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 5/2017 - IA FOR DIRECTIONS AND IA No. 124237/2017 -  
INTERVENTION APPLICATION)

WITH

C.A. No. 8972-8973/2017 (XIV-A)

C.A. No. 6063/2019 (XIV-A)

(FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA  
57949/2020)

C.A. No. 6064/2019 (XIV-A)

(IA No. 62427/2018 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 5639/2019 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 67514/2018 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 52637/2022 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 52640/2022 - DISCHARGE OF ADVOCATE ON RECORD

IA No. 71675/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT)

C.A. No. 6073/2019 (XIV-A)

(FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA  
84524/2017)

Date : 02-11-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Kanwal Chaudhary, Adv.  
Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. K Sultan Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Rohatgi, Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Akshat Singhal, Adv.  
Mr. Rajinder Mathur, AOR

Mr. Uday Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Shivani Lal, Adv.  
Mr. Hiren Dasan, Adv.  
Mr. Rambhakt Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. M.K. Tripathi, Adv.  
Ms. Sanam Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Sulalit Singh Sisodia, Adv.  
Ms. Yogamaya M.G., Adv.  
Ms. Preeti Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Mohan Pandey, AOR

Mr. Shikhil Suri, Adv.  
Mrs. Madhu Suri, Adv.  
Ms. Jyoti Suri, Adv.  
Ms. Wamika Chadha, Adv.  
Ms. Vidhi Kapoor, Adv.  
Ms. Vidushi Jain, Adv.  
Mr. T. R. B. Sivakumar, AOR

Mr. Uday Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Hiren Dasan, AOR  
Mr. Shivani M. Lal, Adv.  
Mr. G.L. Soni, Adv.  
Mr. M.K. Tripathi, Adv.  
Ms. Preeti Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Ikkania, Adv.  
Mr. Lalit Luthra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.C.Singhal, Adv.  
Ms. Megha Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhav Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Amir Rabbani, Adv.  
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, AOR

Mr. S. R. Padhy, Adv.  
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Malvika Trivedi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, Adv.  
Mr. Bikram Dwivedi, Adv.  
Ms. Sagarika Kaul, Adv.  
Mr. Shailendra Slaria, Adv.  
Mr. Shivendra Singh, AOR

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Adv.  
Ms. Puja Sharma, AOR  
Mr. Kunal Sachdeva, Adv.  
Ms. Madhuri Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Chowdhary Zulfkar Ali, Adv.  
Mr. Balwinder Singh Suri, Adv.  
Mr. Prakhar Mithal, Adv.

Ms. Srishti Bajpai, Adv.  
Ms. Sagrika Arya, Adv.

Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of signed orders.

Pending application(s) shall also stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Five Signed orders are placed on the file)