

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.82 OF 2017

R.M. THAMBI

... PETITIONER(S)

VS.

UNION OF INDIA & 4 ORS.

... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Application for permission to appear and argue in person is allowed.

2. The cause raised by the petitioner does not fall within our territorial jurisdiction. We are therefore, not inclined to entertain this petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.

3. The writ petition is dismissed. Pending applications stand disposed of.

.....CJI.  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

.....J.  
[Dr. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

.....J.  
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

New Delhi;  
27<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

ITEM NO.12

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No(s).82/2017

R.M. THAMBI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & 4 ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and permission to appear and argue in person and office report)

Date : 27/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

For Petitioner(s) In-person

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sarita Purohit)  
Court Master

(Renuka Sadana)  
Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)