

In para 11 of the Order certain facts which emerged from the record were set out, which included *inter alia*, :-

“Thus, the reasons for not appointing all the persons who were part of list of 12,091 candidates were available on record from October 2016 onwards.

At no stage any grievance was made till the matter was disposed of in July 2017 which gave the status of permanency to those who were appointed under various interim orders passed by this Court.”

In para 2(e)of the review petition it is now alleged that some of the candidates had filed contempt petition No.190 of 2016 on 11.03.2016 along with other contempt petitions seeking compliance of the order dated 07.12.2015. However, the record does not indicate that any grievance was made when the matter was finally heard and disposed of in July 2017.

In the circumstances, we see no merit in the review petitions. These review petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

.....J.
[M.R. SHAH]

NEW DELHI;
MAY 08, 2020

ITEM NO.1006

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No. 851-879/2020 in CONMT.PET.(C) No. 1391-1419/2018 in
C.A. No. 4347-4375/2014

RAM PRASAD VISHWAKARMA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRABHAT KUMAR

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 4097/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

R.P.(C) No. 881-909/2020 in CONMT.PET.(C) No. 20-48/2019 in C.A.
No. 4347-4375/2014 (III-A)

Date : 08-05-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANAND PRAKASH)
BRANCH OFFICER

(signed order is placed on the file)