

R E V I S E D

ITEM NO.5

Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XIV-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S**

Miscellaneous Application Nos.1497-1499/2020

In

Civil Appeal Nos.9367-9369/2011

SECR., MINISTRY OF DEFENCE SECRETARY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BABITA PUNIYA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing affidavit, intervention/impleadment, clarification/direction and for permission)

Date : 03-09-2020 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH**

**For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Neela Godhale, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) Ms. Meenakshi Lekhi, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR**

Ms. Pooja Dhar, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1 The relief which has been sought in the interlocutory applications would, in substance, amount to a review of the directions contained in sub paragraphs (c) and (d) of paragraph 69.1 of the judgment dated 17 February 2020 of this Court.**
- 2 We are, therefore, not inclined to entertain the interlocutory applications since they are not maintainable.**
- 3 The miscellaneous applications are accordingly dismissed.**

**(CHETAN KUMAR)
AR-cum-PS**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
BRANCH OFFICER**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SMiscellaneous Application Nos.1497-1498/2020

In

Civil Appeal Nos.9367-9369/2011

SECR., MINISTRY OF DEFENCE SECRETARY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BABITA PUNIYA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing affidavit,
intervention/impleadment, clarification/direction and for
permission)

Date : 03-09-2020 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPHFor Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Neela Godhale, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AORFor Respondent(s) Ms. Meenakshi Lekhi, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR

Ms. Pooja Dhar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

- 1 The relief which has been sought in the interlocutory applications would, in substance, amount to a review of the directions contained in sub paragraphs (c) and (d) of paragraph 69.1 of the judgment dated 17 February 2020 of this Court.
- 2 We are, therefore, not inclined to entertain the interlocutory applications since they are not maintainable.
- 3 The miscellaneous applications are accordingly dismissed.

(CHETAN KUMAR)
AR-cum-PS(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
BRANCH OFFICER