

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.13

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SPetition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.2845/2017

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-09-2016 in WP No. 7184/2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

TAPADIYA CONSTRUCTION LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DNYANDEO S/O KANHOJI VEER AND ORS. &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 69820/2018 - DELETING THE NAME OF PETITIONER/RESPONDENT, IA No. 127481/2018 - SUBSTITUTED SERVICE & IA No. 69828/2018 - VACATING INTERIM RELIEF

WITH

SLP (C) No. 12530/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12534/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12532/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12533/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12531/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12513/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12524/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12518/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12520/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12528/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12521/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12527/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12525/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12522/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12516/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12526/2017 (IX)

SLP (C) No. 12529/2017 (IX)

Date : 11-02-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Preshit Vilas Surshe, AOR

Mr. Saurabh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Tamarajdhwa Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Tarun Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. N. Arora, AOR  
Mr. Krishan Kumar, AOR

Mr. Amol B. Karande, AOR  
Mr. Rajsaheb Patil, Adv.  
Ms. Supriya Wankhede, Adv.  
Mr. Omveer, Adv.  
Ms. P Chandana, Adv.  
Mr. S Aditya, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anshuman Ashok, Adv.  
Aswastha Ma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The subject-matter of challenge in these petitions is an interim order passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay dated 2-9-2016 in Writ Petition No.7184/2016.
2. Today, when the matters were called out for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the parties made a statement that now the main matter itself has been disposed of and, therefore, these Special Leave Petitions have become infructuous as they seek to challenge an interim order.
3. In view of the statement, referred to above, these Special Leave Petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous.
4. We clarify that we have otherwise not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.
5. Pending applications, if any, including the application of deletion of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 from the array of parties and substituted service shall also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)