



counsel for the petitioners to inform the arguing counsel to appear in the matter.

The matter was called out after the lunch break, when Mr. Devesh Bhatia, learned counsel appeared for the petitioners. We have heard him in detail.

In our opinion, the view taken by the High Court in the impugned judgment is a possible view. As a matter of fact, the High Court has adopted a consistent approach, on the basis of other proceedings involving similar question decided by it earlier.

In the case of petitioners, it has been noticed by the High Court in paragraph 15 of the impugned judgment that they had never approached the Supreme Court nor the Residents' Welfare Association, of which the petitioners may be members, had done so.

That being an accepted position and for the good reasons stated by the High Court in the impugned judgment, we decline to interfere in this special leave petition.

Hence, the special leave petition is dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SINGH)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

