

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 14872/2020 in SLP (C) NOS.
32781-82 OF 2018

VISHNU KUMAR GUPTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 64084/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 64100/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 64092/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM PAYING COURT FEE
IA No. 64080/2020 - ORAL HEARING)

Date : 08-09-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Application for oral hearing of the review petitions
in the open Court is rejected.

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

(NEELAM GULATI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). OF 2020

(Arising out of Diary No.14872 of 2020)

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION NO.(S) 32781-82 OF 2018

VISHNU KUMAR GUPTA

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR
RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR AND ANR.**

... RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application for oral hearing of the review petitions in the open Court is rejected.
3. We have gone through the review petitions and the connected papers placed on record and are convinced that the order, of which review has been sought, does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration.
4. The review petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(S. ABDUL NAZEER)

.....J.
(SANJIV KHANNA)

New Delhi;
September 8, 2020