

ITEM NO.13 Court 7 (Video Conferencing) SECTION III

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.14062/2018

**(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-03-2018
in SCA No.75/2018 passed by the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad)**

ASIM PANDYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JALARAM LAND DEVELOPERS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 11336/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11347-11349/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11352-11354/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11261/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11365-11367/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 10896/2018 (III)

Date : 27-07-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY**

For Petitioner(s) **Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mihir Joshi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR**

**Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, AOR
Mr. Jitendra Malkan, Adv.
Ms. Dharita P Malkan, Adv.
Ms. Deepa Gorasia, Adv.
Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Bhavna Sarkar, Adv.
Ms. Nandini Chhabra, Adv.**

For Respondent(s) Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. N. Ray, Adv.
Mr. Dilip Kumar Nayak, Adv.
Ms. Disha Ray, Adv.
Mrs. Sumita Ray, AOR

M/S. Aura & Co., AOR

Mr. Anshin Desai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pradhuman Gohil, Adv.
Mrs. Taruna Singh Gohil, AOR
Ms. Ranu Purohit, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Jasleen Bindra, Adv.

Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, AOR

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rakshit Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Banshal, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. C. A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yatin Oza, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Ms. Sakshi Banga, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR
Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.10896/2018, SLP(C) No.11261/2018 & SLP(C) No.
11336/2018

Learned counsel appearing for contesting respondent Nos.3 to 10 submits that the said respondents have filed a suit for specific performance which was decreed by a compromise against which appeals are pending. The present proceeding arise out of interlocutory proceeding on those appeals. He submits that he has instructions that the contesting respondents seek to unconditionally withdraw the

suit itself which they are so entitled to do which would make these proceedings infructuous. According to him some affidavit has been filed but the same is not on record.

Dr. A. M. Singhvi, learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that in lieu of the aforesaid statement, to which he has no objection, very substratum of the legal proceedings arising from the suit do not survive and thus these three petitions can be disposed of as infructuous.

The aforesaid statements are taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid, these three special leave petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous.

SLP(C)No.11347-11349/2018, SLP(C)No.11352-11354/2018 & SLP(C) No. 11365-11367/2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would like to obtain instructions whether he would like to press these petitions in view of the order passed by us today in SLP(C) No.10896/2018, SLP(C) No.11261/2018 & SLP(C) No. 11336/2018.

List on 04th August, 2021.

SLP(C) No.14062/2018

Learned counsel submits that the grievance is restricted to the observations made against the counsel in paragraph 22.1 to 22.4 of the impugned judgment.

List on 04th August, 2021.

[RASHMI DHYANI]
COURT MASTER

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.14062/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-03-2018 in SCA No.75/2018 passed by the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad)

ASIM PANDYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JALARAM LAND DEVELOPERS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 11336/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11347-11349/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11352-11354/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11261/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 11365-11367/2018 (III)

SLP(C) No. 10896/2018 (III)

Date : 27-07-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mihir Joshi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, AOR
Mr. Jitendra Malkan, Adv.
Ms. Dharita P Malkan, Adv.
Ms. Deepa Gorasia, Adv.
Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Bhavna Sarkar, Adv.
Ms. Nandini Chhabra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. D. N. Ray, Adv.
Mr. Dilip Kumar Nayak, Adv.
Ms. Disha Ray, Adv.
Mrs. Sumita Ray, AOR

M/S. Aura & Co., AOR

Mrs. Taruna Singh Gohil, AOR
Ms. Ranu Purohit, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Jasleen Bindra, Adv.

Shri. Gaichangpou Gangmei, AOR

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rakshit Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Banshal, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. C. A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yatin Oza, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Ms. Sakshi Banga, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR
Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.10896/2018, SLP(C) No.11261/2018 & SLP(C) No. 11336/2018

Learned counsel appearing for contesting respondent Nos.3 to 10 submits that the said respondents have filed a suit for specific performance which was decreed by a compromise against which appeals are pending. The present proceeding arise out of interlocutory proceeding on those appeals. He submits that he has instructions that the contesting respondents seek to unconditionally withdraw the suit itself which they are so entitled to do which would make these proceedings infructuous. According to him some affidavit has been filed but the same is not on record.

Dr. A. M. Singhvi, learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that in lieu of the aforesaid statement, to which he has no objection, very substratum of the legal proceedings arising from the suit do not survive and thus these three petitions can be disposed of as infructuous.

The aforesaid statements are taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid, these three special leave petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous.

SLP(C)No.11347-11349/2018, SLP(C)No.11352-11354/2018 &
SLP(C) No. 11365-11367/2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would like to obtain instructions whether he would like to press these petitions in view of the order passed by us today in SLP(C) No.10896/2018, SLP(C) No.11261/2018 & SLP(C) No. 11336/2018.

List on 04th August, 2021.

SLP(C) No.14062/2018

Learned counsel submits that the grievance is restricted to the observations made against the counsel in paragraph 22.1 to 22.4 of the impugned judgment.

List on 04th August, 2021.

[RASHMI DHYANI]
COURT MASTER

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)