

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO.463/2020

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8481/2019

PRERNA SEEMAR KALRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRAMOD ANAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)/
Alleged contemnors

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

We have called upon the learned senior counsel for the respondents to suitably guide the respondents so that such situations do not arise, more so as there appears to be extra activity by respondent Nos.2 and 3 rather than respondent No.1 who is aged about 94 years of age.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keys have been handed over and we thus, make it clear that no obstruction will be caused by the respondents in any manner whatsoever.

We may note a fact brought to our notice by I.A. No.93879/2020 by the petitioner, which is allowed, in terms whereof unnecessary issues as to who should represent which party is being debated. We need to re-emphasise that interlocutory applications are with the object of preserving property in a particular manner till trial takes place. The issue is limited here i.e. whether the respondents still have a right to the second floor

despite having allegedly transferred the property in favour of the petitioner.

We would thus, request the Trial Court to bestow an earlier consideration insofar as the trial of the suit is concerned as there are limited issues involved in the matter.

We hope that all the counsels for the parties will assist the Trial Court in an expeditious disposal.

The petition stands disposed of.

Liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition if there is any further contumacious conduct by the respondents.

.....J.
(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)

.....J.
(ANIRUDDHA BOSE)

.....J.
(KRISHNA MURARI)

New Delhi;
September 21, 2020.

