

ITEM NO.9

COURT NO.5

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
 Diary No(s). 14574/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-01-2017 in SAD No. 746/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

VANDANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH  
 (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SLP)  
 (FOR PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Respondent(s)

Date : 12-09-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH  
 HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, AOR  
 Mr. Umesh Dubey, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. K. Singh, AAG, UP  
 Ms. Komal Mundhra, Adv.  
 Mr. Saurabh Agrawal, Adv.  
 Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.  
 Ms. Kamalendra Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

Delay condoned.

The petitioner approached the High Court with certain grievances regarding the selection for appointment to the post of Police Constable. During medical examination, it was found that she had the problem of 'knock-knee'. She was also subjected to the Appellate Medical Board, which also certified that the petitioner suffers from 'knock-knee'.

On the persuasive submission of the learned counsel regarding the ongoing selection, this Court passed the following order on 21.08.2017 :-

*"Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent/State seeks time to get instruction as to what is the stage of selection and as to whether any candidate had been subjected to a fresh medical board on the directions issued by the High Court."*

Mr. D. K Singh, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State, submits that the selection has already been completed in the year 2015 and that no candidate has been subjected to a third medical examination pursuant to the orders passed by the High Court.

Hence, we find no merit in this Special Leave Petition, which is, accordingly, dismissed.

The petitioner apprehends that this order might stand in her way for appearing in future selections.

We make it clear that in case future selections are held, that selection will be held on its own merits and merely because the petitioner has lost this case, it shall not be a bar forever.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR