

ITEM NO.35

COURT NO.14

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 12681/2020

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-11-2019 in WA No. 1667/2019 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Indore]

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUNIL KHATRI

Respondent(s)

IA No. 65336/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 65338/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 10-02-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Mrinal Gopal Elker, AOR
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh- G.a., Adv.
Mr. Aditya Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Abhay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kaustubh Shukla, AOR
Mrs. Ankita Agarwal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we are not inclined to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India; hence, the special leave petition stands dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

2. In fact, this is an appropriate case where, even after the aforesaid dismissal of the special leave petition, we should direct the State to offer an appointment to the respondent. This, we direct, in exercise of our power conferred by Article 142 of the Constitution having regard to the previous

decisions of the High Court given from time to time, which were never challenged, requiring consideration of the candidature of the respondent in terms of the Government policy dated August 31, 2016. Let such appointment be offered within four weeks from today.

3. The order of the High Court shall, however, not be treated as a precedent.

(JATINDER KAUR)
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)