

as in the complaint petition are almost identical. In such circumstances, the High Court, in our considered view, was not right in holding that the dispute between the parties is not of civil nature and on that basis in refusing to quash the criminal proceedings.

4. Having regard to what has been stated above, particularly, the averments made in the complaint petition we are of the view that the present is a case where criminal proceedings against the accused appellants ought to be quashed which we hereby do. The order of the High Court is set aside and the appeal is accordingly allowed.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 30, 2017

ITEM NO.49

COURT NO.3

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO(S). 3975/2017
(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 27-02-2017
IN CRLP NO. 1286/2017 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
HYDERABAD FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH)

BENNY RAO @ SRINIVASA RAO AND ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF TELANGANA AND ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 30-10-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B. Adi Narayana Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, AOR
Mr. D.S.V.G. Nagaraju, Adv.
Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR
Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, Adv.

Mr. M. Srinivas R. Rao, Adv.
Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P, AOR
Mr. Arun Devdas, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed
order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]

AR-cum-PS

[ASHA SONI]

BRANCH OFFICER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]