

ITEM NO.9

Court No.2
(Through Video Conferencing)

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2984/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-02-2020 in CRMABA No.1751/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

KARAMVEER JANGRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.59057/2020-STAY APPLICATION and IA No.59058/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.59063/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

Date : 22-07-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rupesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Rao Ranjit, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and carefully perused the record.

The applications for exemption from filing official translation and exemption from filing affidavit are allowed.

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court rejecting the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner.

.....2/-

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

If the petitioner surrenders before the trial court and files an application seeking enlargement on bail, the trial court is directed to consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law expeditiously.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR