



interfere with this matter under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. Counsel for the petitioner points out that, at any rate, the High Court ought not to have imposed costs. While we are not inclined to interfere with the decision of the High Court which affirmed the order of the MACT, we are inclined to set aside the direction to pay costs of Rs.25,000/-. The direction to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- is set aside.

Subject to the aforesaid, the special leave petition stands rejected. Pending application stands disposed of.

As prayed for, 12 weeks' time is granted to the petitioner to deposit the amount awarded by the MACT.

(NIDHI AHUJA)  
AR-cum-PS

(RENU KAPOOR)  
BRANCH OFFICER

SLP (C) No. 8741/2021

ITEM NO.4

Court 12 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 8741/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-02-2021 in MACA No. 52/2020 passed by the High Court of Orissa at Cuttack)

IFFCO TOKIO GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAKRAMANI SOREN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.76371/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 13-07-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shivam Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The special leave petition is directed against the order of the High Court dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as 'MACT' for short), rejecting the petitioner's application under Order IX rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to set aside the *ex-parte* Award against the petitioner.

After hearing Shri Shivam Singh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, we are not inclined to

interfere with this matter under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. Counsel for the petitioner points out that, at any rate, the High Court ought not to have imposed costs. While we are not inclined to interfere with the decision of the High Court which affirmed the order of the MACT, we are inclined to set aside the direction to pay costs of Rs.25,000/-. The direction to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- is set aside.

Subject to the aforesaid, the special leave petition stands rejected. Pending application stands disposed of.

As prayed for, 12 weeks' time is granted to the petitioner to deposit the amount awarded by the MACT.

(NIDHI AHUJA)  
AR-cum-PS

(RENU KAPOOR)  
BRANCH OFFICER