

ITEM NO.13

Virtual Court 5

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 13467/2020
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-06-2020
in BA No. 1348/2020 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

HEMANT SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondent(s)
(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.58069/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING
C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.58070/2020-EXEMPTION FROM
FILING O.T. and IA No.58073/2020-PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION
(SLP/TP/WP/..) and IA No.58072/2020-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 08-07-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Mir, Adv.
Mr. Divyansh Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Adv.
Mr. Prabhav Ralli, Adv.
Ms. Mantika Haryani, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Permission to file SLP is granted.

We have heard Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Mir, learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioner.

Being aggrieved by the grant of bail to respondent no. 2, the
petitioner-complainant has filed this special leave petition.

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. The
special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, it is made clear that during the trial, the Trial Judge-Learned Sessions Judge may not be influenced by any of the views made in the impugned order by the High Court. Further in case of any violation of condition(s) of bail by respondent no. 2, the petitioner-complainant is at liberty to move the High Court to work out appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MADHU BALA)
AR-CUM-PS

(BEENA JOLLY)
BRANCH OFFICER