

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 393 OF 2017

DILIP KUMAR SRICHANDAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ODISHA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

The writ petitioner has come to this Court because the State Education Tribunal, Odisha was, according to him, not functioning.

We have been informed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent(s) that a Presiding Officer has since been appointed and that his case will be heard in due course.

Having regard to the above, nothing survives in the writ petition which is being disposed of as infructuous.

..... J.
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

..... J.
(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)

New Delhi;
November 08, 2017.

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.12

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 393/2017

DILIP KUMAR SRICHANDAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ODISHA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

Date : 08-11-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

For Petitioner(s) L.R. Rath, Adv.
Ms. Divya Roy, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sabyasachi Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous in terms of
the signed order.

(R. NATARAJAN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)