

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petitions for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 15017-15018/2024

(Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 29-04-2024 in WPMB No. 75/2023 29-04-2024 in WPMS No. 423/2022 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital)

CANARA BANK ERSTWHILE SYNDICATE BANK

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BABU RAM KASHYAP

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.148464/2024-PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND GROUNDS)

Date : 22-07-2024 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, AOR
Mr. Anant Gautam, Adv.
Ms. Nishi Sangtani, Adv.
Mr. Samir Mudgil, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Sagar, Adv.
Mr. R.p. Daida, Adv.
Ms. Kavitali G Yeptho, Adv.
Ms. Likivi Jakhalu, Adv.
Mr. Kushagra Nilesh Sahay, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. Dhruv Mehta, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner.
2. The counsel would refer to the Chart at Page S and Page T to point out that what could be the permissible pay to determine Gratuity, is pending consideration before this Court in other

proceedings. The other issue is whether additional Gratuity is payable for those who have been in service beyond 30 years.

3. Issue notice. After notice is served, the matters be tagged with Civil Appeal Nos. 6176-6258 of 2024.

4. In the meantime, if Gratuity has already been disbursed to the respondent No.1 who has secured VRS, the differential Gratuity as ordered under the impugned judgment, need not be remitted on the strength of the impugned judgment.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR