

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. PRADIP Y. LADEKAR

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 25017/2016

AKHIL BHARAT KRISHI GOSEVA SANGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARIF USMAN KAPADIA AND ANR. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(Only SLP(C) No. 22007, 22016, 22024, 4131-4134, 10925-10926 and
22014 of 2017 listed)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 22007/2017 (IX)

(IA No.68164/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA
No.68163/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
and IA No.68165/2017-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING)

SLP(C) No. 22024/2017 (IX)

(IA No.68670/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

SLP(C) No. 4131-4134/2017 (IX)

SLP(C) No. 10925-10926/2017 (IX)

Date : 05-04-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR
Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, AOR
Mr. Subodh S. Patil, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. K. Verma, AOR
Mr. Ashwani Saini, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Yogesh Pachauri, Adv.

Mr. Subodh S. Patil, AOR

Ms. Aparna Bhat, AOR
Ms. Karishma Maria, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR
Ms. Rashika Swarup, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.22007/2017

Despite due service on respondent nos.16, 19, 21 and 28, there is no appearance.

SLP stands dismissed vide Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers order dated 24.7.23 in respect of respondent nos.17 and 27.

However, Id. Counsel for the petitioner filed application for substituted service for respondent nos.17 and 27 which is not maintainable as SLP has already been dismissed vide order dated 24.7.23 passed by Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers.

Four weeks time, is granted to respondent nos.1 to 5, 18, 20, 22 to 26 and 30 to file counter affidavit.

After the expiry of said period, matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

SLP(C) No.22024/2017

Despite due service on respondent nos.1 to 3, 5 to 8, and 10 to 12, there is no appearance.

SLP stands dismissed vide Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers order dated 24.7.23 in respect of respondent nos.4 and 9.

However, Id. Counsel for the petitioner filed application for substituted service for respondent nos.4 and 9 which is not maintainable as SLP has already been dismissed.

Hence, process the matter for listing before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

SLP(C) Nos.4131-34/2017

Appearing respondent have failed to file counter affidavit.

SLP stands dismissed vide Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers order dated 24.7.23 in respect of unserved respondents.

Hence, process the matter for listing before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

SLP(C) Nos.10925-26/2017

Despite due service on respondent nos.2 to 5, 7 to 10 and 12 to 14, there is no appearance.

SLP stands dismissed vide Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers order dated 24.7.23 in respect of respondent nos.6 and 11.

Four weeks time, is granted to respondent no.1 to file counter affidavit.

After the expiry of said period, matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

PRADIP Y. LADEKAR
Registrar