



Station on the basis of which FIR No.297/1998 was registered. In the said FIR the allegation levelled was that the property of Jaswant Singh was fraudulently transferred. Though charge-sheet was filed in the said case on 7<sup>th</sup> September, 1999, in the course of investigation the appellant - Asha Rani was found to be a *bona fide* purchaser of the disputed property. Therefore, she was not arrayed as an accused in the charge-sheet.

4. Thereafter, sometime in the year 2008 a supplementary charge-sheet has been filed arraying the appellant - Asha Rani as an accused. Aggrieved, the appellant had filed petition for quashing the supplementary charge-sheet which having been refused by the High Court by the impugned order this appeal has been filed.

5. Though several contentions have been urged at the hearing all that would be required to be taken notice is the fact that a compromise between the appellant - Asha Rani and Nirmal Singh Grewal, the sole surviving legal heir of Jaswant Singh and his authorized Attorney Arvinder Singh Kahlon had been arrived at on 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2013. This compromise was in respect of the disputed property and records the stand of the legal heir of Jaswant Singh and his attorney that the property in question has devolved on the appellant - Asha Rani in a proper manner and that they have no grievance in this regard. The High Court while passing the impugned order dated 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 seems to have completely overlooked the said fact notwithstanding the fact that the compromise agreement was before the High Court.

6. If the parties have settled the matter in the manner indicated and there is no contrary materials or statement on record before us we are of the view that the supplementary charge-sheet filed after nearly a decade ought not to have been sustained by the High Court in the proceedings under challenge. We, therefore, set aside the order of the High Court; quash the supplementary charge-sheet dated 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2008 filed in FIR No.297 dated 10<sup>th</sup> September, 1998 and allow this appeal.

.....,J.  
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.  
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI  
NOVEMBER 13, 2017

ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.3

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO(S). 5155/2015  
(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 12-01-2015  
IN CRLM NO. 1628/2009 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH)

ASHA RANI

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB &amp; ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 13-11-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nar Hari Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed  
order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]

AR-cum-PS

[ASHA SONI]

BRANCH OFFICER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]