

ITEM NO.4 Court 5 (Video Conferencing) SECTION XVII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).11017/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-02-2019 in RP No. 1920/2015 passed by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Circuit Bench, Hyderabad)

HINDUPUR COOPERATIVE HOUSE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K. LAKSHIMKANTAMMA & ANR. Respondent(s)

Date : 08-07-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sadineni Ravi Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. Aman Shukla, Adv.
 Mr. Dasari Muralee Mohan, Adv.
 Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

- 1 In its order dated 19 June 2015 (Annexure P-11), the AP State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission specifically recorded that in compliance with the order passed by the District Forum and upon the dismissal of a writ petition filed before the High Court, the second respondent deposited the title deeds with the District Forum to be handed over to the first respondent.
- 2 Mr D Bharat Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the first respondent, also affirms the factual position by stating that, as a matter of fact, a no dues certificate has already been handed over to the first respondent and the direction has been implemented.

- 3 In this view of the matter, nothing survives in the Special Leave Petition. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly dismissed.
- 4 If anyailable warrants were issued during the pendency of the execution proceedings, they shall stand quashed and set aside in view of the factual position which has been set out before the Court by the learned counsel for the first respondent.
- 5 Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
COURT MASTER