

ITEM NO.49

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 20015/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-05-2018 in WA No. 21084/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

ASHISH KUMAR YADAV &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Applications for exemption to file O.T., intervention/impleadment & clarification/direction)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 23223/2018 (XI)

(121759/2018 INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

127686/2018 INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

134604/2018 PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS

134605/2018 EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

143780/2018 INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 01-04-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Mukesh Kr. Singh, Adv.  
 Mr. Ravi Chandra Prakash, Adv.  
 Ms. Vani Vyas, Adv.  
 Mr. Abhishek K. Tripathi, Adv.  
 Mr. Amit, Adv.  
 Dr. Lokendra Malik, Adv.  
 Mr. Danish Zubair Khan, Adv.  
 Mr. Mohit Kaushik, Adv.  
 Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.  
 Ms. Shantanu J., Adv.  
 Mr. Anand Chaudhary, Adv.  
 Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AOR

Kaucar Raza Furidi, Adv.  
 Mr. Jugal Kishore Gupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Shahbaaz Jameel, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Rastogi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Susheel Tomar, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjeev Malhotra, AOR

Mrs. Swarupama Chaturvedi, AOR

Mr. Ranbir Singh Chhillar, Adv.  
Mr. Suresh Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Prasant Agarwal, Adv.  
M/S. Mukesh Kumar Singh And Co., AOR

Mr. Surendra Singh Rana, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjeev Panigrahi, Adv.  
Mr. Ashutosh Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, learned Senior Advocate invited our attention to page 343 of SLP(C)23223/2018 which is a communication dated 10.04.2018. The cut-off marks of candidates who personally called for screening of documents, are indicated in paragraph 4 of the communication.

The State is directed to file its response to said communication and state why the petitioners who secured more marks than such cut-off were not called for screening of documents, etc. The affidavit must also indicate if all the vacancies advertised for 2013 selection of Constables have been filled up and if not, how many vacancies are still remaining unfilled.

List the matter for further consideration on 16.04.2019.

(INDU MARWAH)  
COURT MASTER

(RAJINDER KAUR)  
BRANCH OFFICER

