

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 714 OF 2017

RASHI MANU BHASIN @ RASHI SETH ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

MANU PREM BHASIN ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the respondent who appear in person.

For the grounds stated in the Transfer Petition, we are satisfied that the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner-wife for transfer of Petition MC No. 2589 of 2012 titled as Rashi Manu Bhasin @ Rashi Seth Vs. Manu Prem Bhasin pending before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore is justified and is fit to be allowed.

We, accordingly, direct transfer of Petition MC No. 2589 of 2012 titled as Rashi Manu Bhasin @ Rashi Seth Vs. Manu Prem Bhasin pending before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Gurgaon, Haryana.

Let the records of the case be transferred without

delay.

The transfer petition is allowed in the afore-stated terms.

.....J.  
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

.....J.  
(NAVIN SINHA)

New Delhi,  
Dated: 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2017.

ITEM NO.57

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVI -A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 714/2017

RASHI MANU BHASIN @ RASHI SETH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANU PREM BHASIN

Respondent(s)

(and IA No.56848/2017-PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON  
FOR EX-PARTE STAY ON IA 1/2017)

Date : 01-12-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Upasana Nath, AOR

For Respondent(s) In-person

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed  
order.

(SHASHI SAREEN)  
AR CUM PS

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)