

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO.112 OF 2017

VINEETA SINGH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANJEET SINGH

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

This petition has been filed by the petitioner-wife for transfer of case being HMA No.936/2016, titled as "Manjeet Singh vs. Vineeta Singh", pending before the Principal Family Judge, Family Court (South), Saket Court, New Delhi, to the District Court, Amritsar, Punjab.

None appears on behalf of the respondent though Mr. Sudhanshu Palo, learned counsel, has filed vakalatnama and the counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent.

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and upon perusal of the record, we decline to consider the transfer of the aforesaid case from the Principal Family Judge, Family Court (South), Saket Court, New Delhi, to the District Court, Amritsar, Punjab.

However, we consider it appropriate in the interests of justice and in view of this Court's order dated 09.3.2017 passed in T.P.(C) No.1912 of 2014, titled as "Krishna Veni Nagam vs. Harish Nagam", to direct that the trial shall be conducted and facilitated by the Principal Family Judge, Family Court (South), Saket Court, New Delhi, through Video Conferencing.

We further direct that caution and care shall be taken by the Principal Family Judge, Family Court (South), Saket Court, New Delhi, to ensure that the witnesses be examined in a place where there is no possibility of outside influence being exerted on them, in case a separate room for conducting video conferencing is not available.

With the aforesaid directions, the transfer petition stands disposed of.

Needless to mention that in case the facility of video conferencing is not available in the Court of Principal Family Judge, Family Court (South), Saket Court, New Delhi, the District Judge, New Delhi, shall provide the facility of video conferencing at a suitable place at New Delhi.

.....J
[S. A. BOBDE]

.....J
[L. NAGESWARA RAO]

New Delhi;
MARCH 29, 2017.

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.9

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition (Civil) No.112/2017

VINEETA SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANJEET SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 29/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Aditi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Seeta Ram Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sudhansu Palo, Adv. (Not Present)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

None appears on behalf of the respondent though Mr. Sudhanshu Palo, learned counsel, has filed vakalatnama and the counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent.

The transfer petition is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)