

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCriminal Appeal No(s). 1135/2007

SURJIT SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(Crl.).../2017 CRLMP No. 6481/2005

Date : 12/04/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nidhi Gupta, AAG
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Delay condoned.

Leave granted in SLP(Crl.)No...../2017
(Crl.M.P.No.6481)The appeals are disposed of in terms of the
signed order.(Madhu Bala)
Court Master
(Signed order is placed on the file)(Veena Khera)
Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.NO. 1135 OF 2007

SURJIT SINGH

...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.719 OF 2017
(@ SLP(CRL.)NO.3115/2017 (@ CRL.M.P.NO.6481 OF 2005)

O R D E R

Heard.

Delay condoned.

Leave granted in SLP(Crl.)No...../2017 (@
Crl.M.P.No.6481)

The appellant(s) appear to have completed more than fourteen years of imprisonment.

We do not find any ground to interfere with their conviction and sentence as recorded in the impugned judgment. However, we direct the State of Haryana to take a decision on their pre-mature release within a period of one month from today, if they are still in custody and the if such decision has been not taken so far.

The appeals are disposed of of in above terms.

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

NEW DELHI
12TH APRIL, 2017

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]