

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION/CRIMINAL
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 606/2015

POONAM PANDEY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RITESH PANDEY Respondent(s)

WITH

Special Leave Petition(Criminal)...CRLMP No. 6522/2015

POONAM PANDEY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RITESH PANDEY @ RITESH KUMAR PANDEY & ANR. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 606/2015:-

When the matters were called out, nobody appeared for the petitioner (wife). However, learned counsel for respondent (husband) appears in these matters.

On the joint prayer made by the learned counsel for the parties, on 28-10-2016, we directed the parties to appear before the Supreme Court Mediation Centre on 6-12-2016.

However, as per Mediation Report dated 15-12-2016, the parties could not arrive at any amicable settlement and thus the mediation failed.

This petition under Section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code read with Order XLI of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 has been filed by the petitioner (wife) for transfer of Case No.36 of 2014 titled as "Ritesh Pandey vs. Poonam Pandey" pending before the Family Court, Varanashi to the Family Court, Bhojpur at Ara, within the jurisdiction of High Court of Judicature at Patna.

Taking into consideration the grounds taken in the transfer petition, we direct transfer of the aforesaid case pending before the Family Court, Varanashi to the Family Court, Bhojpur at Ara, within the jurisdiction of High Court of Judicature at Patna.

Let the record of the case be transferred without delay.

The Transfer Petition is allowed in the afore-stated terms.

Special Leave Petition (Criminal)...CRLMP No. 6522/2015:-

This application for permission to file Special Leave Petition is filed by the petitioner (wife) seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to Respondent No.1 (husband) by the High Court of Judicature at Patna, as she was not party before the said Court.

Permission to file the Special Leave Petition is granted.

We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order so passed by the High Court granting anticipatory bail to Respondent No.1.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

.....J
(PINAKE CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)

NEW DELHI;
21ST FEBRUARY, 2017.

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVIA/II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 606/2015

POONAM PANDEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RITESH PANDEY

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for stay and office report)

WITH

SLP(Crl.)...CRLMP No. 6522/2015

(With appln.(s) for permission to file SLP)

Date : 21/02/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR (Not Present)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anmol, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Sagar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 606/2015:-

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

SLP(Crl.)...CRLMP No. 6522/2015:-

Permission to file the Special Leave Petition is granted.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Common signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1612/2015

ANU RANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PAWAN SWAMI THAKUR

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

As per Office Report dated 15-7-2016, A.D. Card duly signed by the sole respondent (the husband) has been received but no one has entered appearance on his behalf so far.

This petition under Section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code has been filed by the petitioner (the wife) for transfer of proceedings in H.M.P. No.460 of 2013 titled as "Anu Rana vs. Pawan Swami Thakur" pending before the Court of District Judge, Mandi District, Mandi (Himachal Pradesh) to any competent court at Chandigarh.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the grounds taken in the transfer petition, we direct transfer of H.M.P. No.460 of 2013 titled as "Anu Rana vs. Pawan Swami Thakur" pending before the Court of District Judge, Mandi District, Mandi (Himachal Pradesh) to any competent court at Chandigarh.

Let the record of the case be transferred without delay.

The Transfer Petition is allowed in the afore-stated terms.

.....J
(PINA KI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI;
18TH JULY, 2016.