

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.15

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).30422/2019

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-07-2019 in DBSAW No. 26/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur]

MOTI LAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 66502/2020 - STAY APPLICATION)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 3004/2020 (XV)

(IA No. 74009/2020 - STAY APPLICATION)

Date : 12-11-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AOR
Ms. Vani Vyas, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Prakhar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Devvrat Pradhan, Adv.
Mr. Shreeji Bhavsar, Adv.
Ms. Amita Singh Kalkal, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anuj Bhandari, AOR
Mr. Anuj, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Bhandari, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1 We have heard Mr. Amit Bhandari, the learned counsel appearing for the

petitioners and Mr. Anuj Bhandari, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

2. It appears from the materials on record that a parcel of land owned by the petitioners herein came to be acquired by the State of Rajasthan for the benefit of Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation (for short, the "RIICO"). It is not in dispute that the acquisition proceedings stood concluded since long. It is also not in dispute that the award towards compensation was passed by the competent authority long time back.
- 3 We also take notice of the fact that some proceedings were instituted by the petitioners as regards the quantum of award and those also have been concluded. However, the petitioners went before the High Court with a plea that RIICO may be directed to allot one plot from a developed land in lieu of cash compensation for the land acquired.
- 4 The learned Single Judge rejected the Writ Petition and declined to grant any such relief. The intra-court appeal filed by the petitioners also failed.
- 5 We are informed by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 respectively that the amount towards compensation has already been deposited and it is now for the petitioners to withdraw the same.
- 6 We see no good reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.
- 7 The Special Leave Petitions are accordingly dismissed.
- 8 However, the amount of compensation, already deposited, shall be now disbursed in favour of the petitioners in accordance with law within a period of

two weeks from today.

9 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER