

ITEM NO.4 Court 2 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL)Diary No(s).10606/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-12-2019 in ABA No.2463/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

SHAIKH MUKHTAR AHMED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(IA No.44251/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.44252/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.44253/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 13-04-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mohd. Farhan Khan, Adv.
Ms. Farah Hashmi, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.
Delay condoned.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and carefully perused the material placed on record.

Taking into consideration the fact that the High Court granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the year 2019 and he has not violated any of the conditions imposed by it, we are not inclined to entertain this petition under Article 136 of the Constitution.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....2/-

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the observations made by the High Court may have bearing on the trial of the case.

In view of the above, we direct the trial court to proceed with the matter uninfluenced by any of the observations made by the High Court.

As a sequel to dismissal of the special leave petition, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR