

"Another anomaly is that the plaintiffs declared Schedule A property as their own without any share of anybody in it."

Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayan, learned senior counsel for the respondents on the other hand would point that the said version is the result of erroneous translation and the correct version has been produced by the respondents in I.A. No.146027/2021. We feel that this controversy need not detain us. All that we would clarify is that the version of the petitioners is to be found from the pleadings of the petitioners namely the plaint and any other document relied upon by the petitioners. In other words, we only record the submission of the petitioners that the petitioners/plaintiffs do not appear to claim Schedule 'A' as their own.

Regarding Schedule 'B' and Schedule 'C' if the case of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that it is not their case they are out of possession, if the petitioners have grievance about it, it is for them to seek appropriate remedies before the Court. Subject to the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(RENU KAPOOR)
BRANCH OFFICER