

ITEM NO.2 Court 2 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).792/2021

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-12-2020 in ABA No.4213/2020 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi)

RAJIV RANJAN TIWARI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.11685/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.11684/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 04-02-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Parmod K. Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Rai, Adv.
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and carefully perusing the material placed on record, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court rejecting the application for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner herein.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

.....2/-

However, in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial court and files an application for regular bail, the trial court is directed to consider the same on its own merit and in accordance with law expeditiously.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR