



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

Writ Petition (Civil) No 364 of 2021

Farzana Batool

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

W I T H

Writ Petition (Civil) No 375 of 2021

J U D G M E N T

Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud, J

- 1 These proceedings under Article 32 have been instituted by two students from Ladakh. They have been nominated by the Administration of the Union Territory of Ladakh for admission to the MBBS degree course under the 'central pool' seats set apart by the Union Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. One of them has been allocated against a seat at Lady Hardinge Medical College ("**LHMC**"). The other has been assigned to Maulana Azad

Medical College ("**MAMC**"). Unfortunately, these students have not yet been admitted to their course of studies despite due nomination by the Administration of the Union Territory of Ladakh and in terms of the seats notified by the Union Government. We have been constrained to take up the issue under Article 32, since the fundamental rights of students from Ladakh to pursue professional education are implicated. We will in the course of this judgment deal of course with the grievance of the two students. But we intend to deal with the issue on a systemic basis so that other students who may lack resources, or simply the knowledge about legal remedies, are not deprived of education.

- 2 Notice was issued in these proceedings on 26 March 2021. In pursuance of the order, Mr Rupinder Singh Suri, Additional Solicitor General appears for the Union of India while Mr K M Nataraj, Additional Solicitor General appears on behalf of the Administration of the Union Territory of Ladakh through the Director of Health Services, Ladakh ("**DHSL**"). The Office Report indicates that LHMC and MAMC have been served.

- 3 By a Memorandum dated 9 April 2020, the Government of India through the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare ("**MHFW**"), issued guidelines for the allocation of the general pool MBBS/BDS seats for 2020-2021. By a Notification dated 23 November 2020, the MHFW (Department of Health and Family Welfare) allotted, *inter alia*, one seat at LHMC to the Union Territory of Ladakh from the central pool. A similar allocation of one seat was made at MAMC. These allocations were made for the Ladakh central pool medical

seats for the year 2020-2021.

- 4 Through a communication dated 19 February 2021 issued by the Administration of the Union Territory of Ladakh, the DHSL forwarded the list of selected candidates from Ladakh to be admitted in the central pool medical seats for the year 2020-2021. For convenience of reference, Annexure A to the Notification DHSL(21) of 2021 dated 19 February 2021 is extracted below:

Annexure - "A"
(Selected/Nominated list)

Order No:27/DHSL(21) of 2021, Dated 19/02/2021

| S.No. | Details of the Candidates | Category | NEET, Score | Discipline | College allotment |
|-------|--|---|-------------|-------------|---|
| 1 | Mohammad Mehdi Waziri S/o Mohammad Ali, R/o: Sankoo, Kargil | Unreserved Kargil | 440 | MBBS | Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi (1st preference) |
| 2 | Mohammad Imran S/o Abdul Razak, R/o: Drass, Kargil | Reserved Common Seniority Combined Ladakh | 437 | MBBS | Medical College Ambikapur, Sarguja, Chhattisgarh (2 nd preference) |
| 3 | Nadeem Hussain Shabani S/o Mohd Hussain, R/o: Pashkum, Kargil | Reserved Kargil | 407 | MBBS | M.L.B. Medical College Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh (2 nd preference) |
| 4 | Farzana Batool D/o Kalbi Ali, R/o: Pashkum, Kargil | Unreserved Kargil | 403 | MBBS | Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi (2nd preference) |
| 5 | Masooma Khanum D/o Sheikh Mohammad Hussan, R/o: Sankoo, Kargil | Reserved Kargil | 386 | MBBS | VCSGGMS & RI, Srinagar, Garwal, Uttarakhand (3 rd preference) |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|-----|------|---|
| 6 | Stanzin Palzom D/o Sonam Namgail, R/o: Hemis Shukpachan, Leh | Unreserved Leh | 347 | MBBS | MGIMS, Wardha, Maharashtra (6 th preference) |
| 7 | Tsering Gazes D/o Rinchen Tashi, R/o: Chemday, Leh | Unreserved Leh | 340 | BDS | K.G. Dental College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (6 th preference) |
| 8 | Dechen Angmo D/o Tsewang Phunchok, R/o:Leh, Ladakh. | Reserved Leh | 339 | BDS | K.G. Dental College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (5 th preference) |
| 9 | Lamo Dolma D/o Stanzin Gonboo, R/o:Khardong, Nubra, Leh. | Reserved Leh | 325 | BDS | College of Dentistry Indore (8 th preference) |

(emphasis supplied)

The name of the petitioner in Writ Petition (Civil) No 364 of 2021 appears at serial no 4, while the name of the petitioner in Writ Petition (Civil) No 375 of 2021 appears at serial no 1.

- 5 The two writ petitions before this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution have been instituted for seeking directions to facilitate the students to be admitted respectively at LHMC and MAMC, so as to facilitate them to pursue their studies for the MBBS degree course. The petitioner in the first of the two petitions (Writ Petition (Civil) No. 364 of 2021) is Ms Farzana Batool. The petitioner in the second writ petition (Writ Petition (Civil) No. 375 of 2021) is Mr Mohammad Mehdi Waziri.

- 6 The grievance is that though the petitioners have been duly nominated by the DHSL, the admission process of the petitioners has not been confirmed. This is even though other similarly placed students nominated by the DHSL, who were allotted to different institutions, have had their admissions confirmed.

- 7 Having regard to the predicament of the petitioners, this Court issued notice and as noted above, the Government of the Union Territory of Ladakh and the Union MHFW are represented by the Additional Solicitor Generals, Mr Rupinder Singh Suri and Mr K M Nataraj. Both the ASGs state that since due allocations have been made in favour of the two petitioners, there is no reason and justification to deny them the benefit of admission to the courses for which the allocation has been made.

- 8 In view of the above position, we direct that the admission formalities for the petitioner, Ms Farzana Batool be completed at the LHMC immediately and, in any event, within a week from today. Similarly, the admission formalities pertaining to Mr Mohammad Mehdi Waziri at MAMC be completed immediately and, in any event, within a week from today. In order to obviate the hardship which has been caused to these students, we also direct that all the students who are referred to in Annexure A to the Notification dated 19 February 2021, as extracted above, be granted admissions to the concerned institutions, if not already given so far. We are issuing these general directions in order to obviate the possibility of each of the similarly placed

students being required to move this Court. Financial hardship should not prevent the students from getting admission in terms of the allocation which has been made in their favor legitimately under the central pool seats.

9 Given that the issue raised in this case concerns access to education, albeit at the professional level, we would like to take this opportunity to underscore the importance of creating an enabling environment to make it possible for students such as the petitioners to pursue professional education. While the right to pursue higher (professional) education has not been spelt out as a fundamental right in Part III of the Constitution, it bears emphasis that access to professional education is not a governmental largesse. Instead, the State has an affirmative obligation to facilitate access to education, at all levels.

10 This obligation assumes far greater importance for students whose background (by virtue of such characteristics as caste, class, gender, religion, disability and geographical region) imposes formidable obstacles on their path to accessing quality education. Indeed, as the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights ("**ICESCR Committee**")¹ notes in General Comment 13, "*As an empowerment right, education is the primary vehicle by which economically and socially marginalized adults and children can lift themselves out of poverty and obtain the means to participate fully in their communities*"².

1 This is a committee formed to monitor the implementation of International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (the "**Covenant**"), which was ratified by India in 1979. Further, it also issued 'General Comment(s)', which function as interpretative tools for the various provisions of the Covenant.

2 ICESCR Committee, 'General Comment No. 13: The right to education (Article 13)' (8 December 1999) Un Doc E/C.12/1999/10, para 1.

- 11 Article 26(1) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which is a source of persuasive value, obligates every State Party to ensure that technical and professional education is made generally available and that higher education is equally accessible to all on the basis of merit. In its General Comment 13, the ICESCR committee outlined four essential features that education at all levels must possess. Pertinently, one such feature is 'accessibility'. Two of the components of accessibility highlighted by the ICESCR Committee bear emphasis. *First*, the guarantee of non-discrimination, in relation to which it notes that, "*education must be accessible to all, especially the most vulnerable groups, in law and fact, without discrimination on any of the prohibited grounds*". *Second*, economic accessibility, meaning that the state party must take steps to ensure that financial constraints do not come in the way of accessing education.
- 12 The ICESCR Committee pertinently notes that disparities in spending policies that result in differing qualities of education for persons residing in different geographic locations may constitute discrimination under the Covenant. Each state party is required, *inter alia*, to fulfill the right to education, by facilitating and providing for its realization. Pursuant to these obligations which India has undertaken by being a signatory to the Covenant, the Union MHFW and the DHSL shall ensure proper co-ordination so that students allocated colleges under the central pool seats are not put to hardship in enrolling once they have been duly allocated their seats. Specifically, the

Union MHFW and the DHSL can consider appointing a nodal officer tasked with the responsibility of ensuring that students who are duly nominated under the central pool seats are in fact admitted in their chosen course of study. Such an officer can serve as a one-point contact for students who may otherwise face numerous difficulties in securing their admission, even after they have been allocated the seat. The details of such officer can be widely publicized on the websites of the aforesaid two authorities. Such an institutional framework will ensure that students are not left in the lurch due to lack of help in securing their legitimate admission to the appropriate course. In this way, it will help remedy the broader problem of which the case before us is a symptom.

- 13 We would also like to place on record our appreciation for the fact that Mr Rupinder Singh Suri and Mr K M Nataraj approached these proceedings on behalf of the Union of India and the Administration of the Union Territory of Ladakh in a spirit of dialogue, as opposed to adopting an adversarial approach.
- 14 A copy of this order shall be forwarded to the Principals of the LHMC and the MAMC for compliance. The DHSL shall also forward a copy of this order to all the concerned institutions, referred to in Annexure A to the Notification dated 19 February 2021, as extracted above, for compliance.

15 The Petitions are accordingly allowed in the above terms.

16 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

.....J.
[Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud]

.....J.
[M R Shah]

New Delhi;
April 9, 2021
CKB

ITEM NO.32

Court 6 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No.364/2021

FARZANA BATOOL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for IA No.41283/2021-EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM RELIEF and
IA No.41284/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

WITH W.P.(C) No.375/2021 (X)

(With appln.(s) for I.R. and IA No.42464/2021-EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM
RELIEF and IA No.42467/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

Date : 09-04-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, AOR
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Kumar Chaurasia, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

Mr. Rupinder Singh, ASG
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1 The Writ Petitions are allowed in terms of the signed reportable judgment.
- 2 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)

A.R. - cum - P.S.

(Signed Reportable Judgment is placed on the file)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)

Court Master