

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRA ORDINARY JURISDICTION

W. P. (C) No. 66 OF 2015

Mr. Rinzing Chopel Rai,
S/o Shri Santa Bir Rai,
R/o Shiv Mandir Road,
Chandmari, East Sikkim,
Gangtok – 737 103. ----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

1. State of Sikkim,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Personnel,
Adm. Reforms, Training & Public Grievances,
Government of Sikkim,
Manan Bhawan, Gangtok, East Sikkim.
2. Through the Secretary,
Sikkim Public Service Commission,
Old West Point School,
Gangtok, East Sikkim. ----- Respondents.

PETITIONER

ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. A. K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay
Rathi Ms. Binita Chhetri and Ms. Aruna Chhetri.

RESPONDENT

ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General,
Mr. Karma Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate, Mr. S. K.
Chhetri and Ms. Pollin Rai Asst. Govt. Advocate.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
10.	12.05.2016	W.P.(C) No. 66 of 2015 Rinzing Chopel Rai vs. State of Sikkim & Ano. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. A.K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Binita Chhetri, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Ringzing Chopel Rai, Petitioner in person. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Sr. Govt. Advocate with Mr. S.K. Chhetri and Mrs. Pollin Rai, Asst. Govt. Advocates and Mr. J.K. Kharka, Advocate (SPSC) for the State-Respondents. <u>I.A. No. 7 of 2016</u> Heard. The State Respondents have filed I.A. No. 7 of 2016. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Senior Government Advocate, <i>inter alia</i>, submits that as evident from the prayers set out at Paragraph 24 of the Writ Petition, the Petitioner had sought for a direction from this Court to appoint him to the post of Under Secretary or DySP, as per the merit list maintained by them. Consequently, the Respondent No.1, the Secretary, Department of Personnel, Adm. Reforms, Training & Public Grievances, Gangtok, on 10.05.2016 has issued a Memorandum bearing No. 304/(G)/DOP to the Petitioner offering him appointment to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police. The terms of appointment are subject to certain conditions as set out in the Memorandum. That, in the circumstances, the Writ Petition be dismissed as being infructuous. Learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner, submits that the Memorandum has been received by the Petitioner and he is satisfied with the steps taken by the State-Respondents and seeks no further relief.

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		Considering the submissions hereinabove, since the prayers of the Petitioner have been satisfied, no further relief lies against the State-Respondents. Accordingly, I.A. No. 7 of 2016 stands disposed of, as well as Writ Petition No. 66 of 2015. <i>sd/-</i> Judge 12.05.2016

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

ds/bp