

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRA-ORDINARY JURISDICTION

W. P. (C) No. 56 OF 2015

1. Vineet Singh,
S/o Shri Mahender Pratap Singh,
R/o Plot No. 114, Runkata,
NH - 2, Agra, U. P.
2. A Institute of Hotel Management
Through it Promoter Director Vineet Singh
Having it's office at
22/88, NH - 2, Near Canara Bank,
Sikandara, Agra, Uttar Pradesh. ----- Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

1. State of Sikkim,
Represented by and Through the Principal Secretary,
Human Resource and Development Department,
Government of Sikkim.
2. University Grants Commission,
Bahadue Shah Zafar Marg,
New Delhi, Pin No. - 110 002.
3. Eastern institute of Integrated
Learning and Management,
Jorethang, South Sikkim. ----- Respondents.

PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. Rahul Bathi, Ms. Pema Wangmu Bhutia,
Mr. Manish P. Singh.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General,
Mr. Karina Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate, Mr. S. K.
Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocate.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
10.	21.07.16	W.P.(C) No. 56/2015 Vineet Singh & Anr. vs. State of Sikkim & Ors. BEFORE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, ACJ. Present: Mr. Rahul Rathi, Advocate for the Petitioners. Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. Santosh Kr. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocates for Respondents No. 1. Mr. Karma Thinlay, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, Advocate for Respondent No. 2. Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Advocate for Respondent No. 3. ... Notwithstanding several opportunities granted to the petitioners to file rejoinder, on his request, no rejoinder is filed. Mr. Rahul Rathi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are not desirous of filing any rejoinder affidavit, the matter may be heard on the basis of pleadings filed by the parties. After hearing for some time, it was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the leading counsel in the matter could not reach on account of some unavoidable circumstances. In the course of arguments, Mr. Pradhan, learned Additional Advocate General, points out that Malvika Foundation, instituting the University, has also filed a writ petition, seeking quashing of the notification dated

8

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		30.04.2015 in W.P.(C) No. 33 of 2015. The petitioners in the instant petition, seeks identical relief, thus, it is submitted that both the writ petitions may be clubbed together and heard together. On consideration, it is directed to list W.P.(C) No.33 of 2015 with the present petition and call on 12.09.2016 for hearing. Acting Chief Justice 21.07.2016

jk/to

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No