

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM**  
**CIVIL EXTRA ORDINARY JURISDICTION**

I. A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ 01 \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ 2016  
(Arising out of W. P. (C) No. 46 OF 2015)

Smt. Tulsi Maya Thapa,  
W/o Late Gyan Bahadur Thapa,  
R/o Sirwani,  
East Sikkim. ----- Petitioner.

**-VERSUS-**

1. State of Sikkim,  
Through District Collector,  
East at Gangtok,  
Government of Sikkim.
2. Shri Bhusan Chettri (Bhandari),  
S/o Ratna Das Chettri,  
R/o Sirwani, P. O & P. S. Singtam,  
East Sikkim - 737 134.
3. Smt. Binita Chettri,  
W/o Shri Bhusan Chettri (Bhandari),  
R/o Sirwani, P. O & P. S. Singtam,  
East Sikkim - 737 134. ----- Respondents.

PETITIONER

ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. Ajay Rathi, Mr. Rahul Rathi, Mr. Aditya Mahim,  
Ms. Phurba Siki Sherpa and Mr. Pramit Chettri.

RESPONDENT

ADVOCATE (S)

(S) 2/2016  
FOR ----- Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General,  
Mr. Karma Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate, Mr. S. K.  
Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai Asst. Govt. Advocate.  
Mr. Zangpo Sherpa, Mr. Dawa Lepcha, Mr. Tushan  
Lecha and Mr. Dawa S. Lital.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
02.	28.03.2016	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>I.A. No. 1 of 2016</b> <b>arising out of W.P.(C) No. 46 of 2015</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Tulsi Maya Thapa vs. State of Sikkim &amp; Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>BEFORE</b> <b>HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE</b></p> <p>Present: Mr. Zangpo Sherpa and Ms. Doma Lepcha, Advocates for the Applicants/Respondents No.2 and 3.</p> <p>Applicants/Respondents No.2 and 3, present in person.</p> <p>Mr. Ajay Rathi and Mr. Rahul Rathi, Advocates for Mrs. Tulsi Maya Thapa/Petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 46 of 2015.</p> <p>Mrs. Tulsi Maya Thapa, present in person.</p> <p>Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Sr. Govt. Advocate with S.K. Chettri and Mrs. Pollin Rai, Asst. Govt. Advocates for the State-Respondent No.1.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Learned Counsel for Applicants/Respondents No.2 and 3, prays for three months time to complete the process as has been agreed to by them in W.P.(C) No. 46 of 2015 and assures this Court that steps shall be completed by them.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for Mrs. Tulsi Maya Thapa, does not oppose the prayer for time but submits that the same be allowed as a final opportunity.</p> <p>Considered.</p> <p>In the circumstances and in view of the assurance of Respondent No.2 and 3, time allowed.</p> <p>I.A. No. 1 of 2016 stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Judge</b> 28.03.2016</p>

Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No