

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

Record of Proceedings**WP(C) No.40 of 2018**

SEM SODEUN WANGDI

PETITIONER

VERSUS

MEENA LAMA AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

Date: 31.05.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE

For Petitioner

Mr. A. Moulik, Senior Advocate.
Mr. Ranjit Prasad, Advocate.

For Respondents

R-1 to R-13

Mr. N. Rai, Senior Advocate.

R-14 to R-18

Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Additional
Advocate General.
Mr. Yadev Sharma, Government
Advocate.
Mr. Sujan Sunwar, Assitant
Government Advocate.ORDER

The Learned Additional Advocate General verbally seeks four weeks time on grounds that the Government is yet to take steps in the matter.

Vide Order dated 26-07-2021 when the matter was taken up for final hearing the Learned Government Advocate for the State-Respondents No.14 to 18 submitted that while preparing for the hearing it came to light that in Page No.182, Counter-Affidavit of the State-Respondent No.14, the Department of Forest, Environment and Wildlife, it was asserted that the area under dispute came under the Reserve Forest. Contrarily, in Page No.298, the Counter-Affidavit of the State-Respondent No.16, the Land Revenue Department asserted that the property in dispute was leased out to the Petitioner in the year 2011. It is on this point that the Learned Additional Advocate General seeks sometime as according to him the matter is now in the Office of the Chief Secretary, Government of Sikkim.

The prayer of the Learned Additional Advocate General is supported by Learned Senior Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 13, while it is vehemently opposed by the Learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner on grounds that the matter is required to be heard since it is of 2018.

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
Record of Proceedings

In the interest of justice, four weeks’ time, as prayed for by the Learned Additional Advocate General, is considered and allowed.

List on 21-06-2022.

Judge
31.05.2022