

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRA ORDINARY JURISDICTION

W. P. (C) No. 33 OF 2016

Shri Subash Gupta,
S/o Late Rameshwar Prasad,
R/o Pakyong,
P. O. & P. S. Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

Shri Yadap Nepal,
S/o Late Dilli Ram Nepal,
R/o Pacheykhani,
P. O. & P. S. Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Ms. Laxmi Chakraborty, Ms. Manju Rai and
Mr. Rogena Gurung.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
01.	04.08.16	W.P.(C) No. 33/2016 Subash Gupta vs. Yadap Nepal BEFORE MR. JUSTICE SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, ACJ. Present: Mrs., Laxmi Chakraborty and Ms. Rogena Gurung, Advocates for the Petitioner. ... Relying on a decision of the Supreme Court in <i>Surendra Kumar Sharma vs. Makhan Singh</i> decided on 18.09.2009, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the amendment of the plaint cannot be declined solely on the ground of delay. The real issue, as to whether the amendment is necessitated to resolve the <i>lis</i> pending in the case, requires examination. Issue notice, returnable on 12.09.2016.  Acting Chief Justice 04.08.2016

pm/jk

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No