

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

Record of proceedings

W.P. (C) No. 30 of 2018

KISHAN KUMAR BHUJEL

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS.

.... RESPONDENTS

Date:04.07.2019

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHASKAR RAJ PRADHAN, J.

For Petitioner : Petitioner in person.

For Respondent : Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, Government Advocate
Nos. 1, 2 and 4 along with Ms. Manju Gurung and Ms. Neelam Rai,
Legal Retainer, Home Department, Government of
Sikkim.

For Respondent : Mr. Manish Kumar Jain, Advocate (on behalf of Mr.
No.3 Karma Thinlay, Central Government Counsel).

.....

The Petitioner appears in person and informs the Court that the learned Counsel who was engaged for the present case is now the Additional Advocate General and therefore, he needs time to engage a Counsel of his choice.

Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, learned Counsel for the Respondent nos. 1, 2 and 4 submits that due to the aforesaid reason the learned Additional Advocate General would not be able to appear for the State in the present case and therefore the services of the learned Advocate General may be necessary.

In view of the difficulties expressed by the Petitioner in person as well as the learned Counsel for the State, as desired list on 10.09.2019.

Judge
04.07.2019