

WP(C) No.13 of 2020

MEENA JHA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

Date: 14.09.2020

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUP KUMAR GOSWAMI, CHIEF JUSTICE

...

Heard Mr. A. Moulik, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. Yeshi W. Rinchen, learned State Counsel for respondent nos.6 and 7 and Ms. Nikita Chandak, learned Counsel for respondent no.2.

Office note dated 18.08.2020 indicates that A/D Cards had been received back from respondent nos.4 and 5.

Office note dated 12.09.2020 indicates that neither A/D card nor un-served notice has been received back by the Registry from respondent nos.1 and 3.

Office note dated 04.08.2020 shows that notices to respondent nos.1-5 were sent on 04.08.2020 by registered post with A/D.

Respondent no.1 is State Bank of India. Respondent no.2 is chief Manager/ Branch Manager, State Bank of India, who is duly represented. Respondent no.3 is Recovery Officer-I of the Debt Recovery Tribunal, Guwahati.

Having regard to the fact that more than one month has passed from the date of dispatch of notice by registered post with A/D to respondent nos.1 and 3, service of notice on the aforesaid respondents is presumed.

Service is complete.

Ms. Chandak prays for two weeks' time to file counter affidavit.

Prayer is allowed.

Registry will list this case for admission on 12.10.2020.

Chief Justice