

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

Record of Proceedings**WP(C) No.13 of 2020**

MEENA JHA

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

Date: 07.11.2022

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE

For Petitioner Mr. Ranjit Prasad, Advocate.

For Respondents

R-1 & R-2 Mr. J.K. Chandak, Advocate.

R-3 to R-5 None present.

R-6 & R-7 Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Additional Advocate General.
Ms. Pema Bhutia, Assistant Government Advocate.**ORDER**

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner verbally seeks time on grounds that Learned Senior Counsel, Mr. A. Moulik who is leading the matter is indisposed and had to travel to Siliguri, West Bengal, for medical treatment. That, he had informed the Learned Counsel for the Respondents two days ago of the said circumstance.

Not opposed by the other parties.

Considered and ordered accordingly.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 that yesterday the husband of the Petitioner contacted him telephonically and informed him that he should be prepared with the matter. Although, he was at Siliguri, West Bengal, on unavoidable personal work, he had to rush back to Gangtok due to the submissions of the Petitioner's husband causing him undue inconvenience when the Senior Counsel of the Petitioner is absent which the Petitioner did not disclose to him.

In the circumstance, let cost of ₹10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) only, be paid to Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 by the Petitioner within a week from today for the inconvenience caused by him to Mr. J. K. Chandak despite the Counsel of the Petitioner having informed the Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 that he would be seeking adjournment on account of his ill health and treatment in Siliguri.

List on 06-03-2023 as found convenient by the parties.

Judge
07.11.2022