

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM : GANGTOK
Record of Proceedings

WA No. 03/2025

MEENA JHA

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

For Appellant : Ms. Pema Dechen Bhutia, Advocate.

For Respondents : Mr. Thinlay Dorejee Bhutia, Government Advocate
with Ms. Pema Bhutia, Assistant Government
Advocate.**Date: 28/10/2025****CORAM:**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BISWANATH SOMADDER, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE

...

O R D E R: (per the Hon'ble, the Chief Justice)

On the flimsy ground of counsel coming from outstation (New Delhi), an adjournment is sought for. The reason why we call this ground "flimsy" is, when any matter is listed before a Court, it is the duty of the learned advocate/counsel — be it local or outstation — to be present in Court on that day. We cannot be oblivious of the fact that there is a huge pendency of matters in the Courts of our country and if such adjournments, as sought for, are granted liberally on such flimsy prayer, it can cause grave injustice to those litigants whose matters are pending before our Courts for several years but cannot be listed because of clogging of dockets, primarily due to this type of approach made by some lawyers whose matters are listed for hearing in the Courts.

Purely in the interest of justice, however, we adjourn this matter till 04th November, 2025, while making it clear that no such adjournment shall be granted, if sought for by the appellant on the next date.

(Meenakshi Madan Rai)
Judge

(Biswanath Somadder)
Chief Justice