

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Mat. App. No. 0/ of 2015

Smt. Mala Rai,
W/o Shri. Bal Krishna Dhamala,
R/o Chalamthang, Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Appellant.


-VERSUS-

Shri. Bal Krishna Dhamala,
S/o Dadhiram Dhamala,
R/o Amba Busty,
Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. B. K. Gupata.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
03.	04.09.15 (Sinha, CJ)	MAT APP. No. 01/2015 Mala Rai vs. Bal Krishna Dhamala BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE Present: Mr. B.K. Gupta, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel) for the Appellant. Mr. Umesh Ranpal and Ms. Kesang Choden Tamang, Advocates for the Respondent. ... Delay ^{has} has condoned vide CMA No. 136/2015. Heard on admission. Admit. Call for the records of the Family Court. List for further orders on 06.10.2015.  Judge 04.09.2015  Chief Justice 04.09.2015

ds/jk
 Index : Yes / No
 Internet : Yes / No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

C.M. Appl. No. **136** of 2015
(In Mat. App. No. **1** of 2015)

Smt. Mala Rai,
W/o Shri. Bal Krishna Dhamala,
R/o Chalamthang, Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Applicant/Appellant.

-VERSUS-

Shri. Bal Krishna Dhamala,
S/o Dadhiram Dhamala,
R/o Amba Busty,
Pakyong,
East Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. B. K. Gupata.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
03.	04.09.15 (Sinha, CJ)	CMA No. 136/2015 in MAT APP. No. 01/2015 Mala Rai vs. Bal Krishna Dhamala BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE Present: Mr. B.K. Gupta, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel) for the Applicant. Mr. Umesh Ranpal and Ms. Kesang Choden Tamang, Advocates for the Respondent. Heard. This is an Application, filed by the Appellant, for condonation of delay in filing the Appeal. According to Mr. Gupta, the Appeal is barred by 20 days of limitation. On due consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the grounds taken in the Application, we are satisfied that the Appellant/Applicant has shown sufficient cause for not filing the Appeal in time and the delay in filing the Appeal deserves to be condoned. Accordingly, CMA No. 136/2015 is allowed and the delay in filing the Appeal is condoned. The CMA stands finally disposed of.  Judge 04.09.2015  Chief Justice 04.09.2015

ds/jk

Index : Yes / No
 Internet : Yes / No