

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL APPEAL JURISDICTION**

Crl. A. No.

17

OF

2015

Shri. Indra Kumar Pradhan,
S/o Late Singha Raj Pradhan,
R/o Rhenock Bazar,
East Sikkim.

----- Appellant.


-VERSUS-

State of Sikkim.

**BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA**

03.	02.11.15	Present:	Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Wangmu Bhutia, Ms. Tamanna Chettri, Ms. Sonam Choden Bhutia and Ms. Malati Sharma, Advocates for the Appellant. Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Public Prosecutor with Mr. S.K. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutors for the State.
-----	----------	----------	---

List on 06.11.2015.


Chief Justice
02.11.2015

pm/jk

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRA ORDINARY JURISDICTION**

W. P. (C) No. 61 OF 2015

Smt. Naima khatun,
W/o Late Mohammad Ishaque,
R/o Pakyong Road,
Vhir Cutting Jalipool, East Sikkim. ----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

1. State of Sikkim,
Through Chief Secretary,
Government of Sikkim.
2. Principal Secretary, Finance,
Revenue & Expenditure Department,
Government of Sikkim, Gangtok.
3. Principal Director (Pension),
Finance Revenue & Expenditure Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Sonam Tshering Marg,
Gangtok - 737 101, Sikkim. ----- Respondents.

BEFORE


**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA**

02. 02.11.15 Present: Ms. Rupa Dhakal, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Additional Advocate General with
Mr. S.K. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt.
Advocates for the State-Respondents.

Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General prays for
and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit.

List for further orders on 01.12.2015.


Chief Justice
02.11.2015

pm/jk
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

AER.

HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM, GANGTOK
(Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction)

Crl. Rev. P. (suo motu) No. 09 of 2015

State of Sikkim.

... **PETITIONER**

Versus

Indra Kumar Pradhan,
S/o. Lt. Singha Raj Pradhan,
R/o. Rhenock Bazar,
East Sikkim.

... **RESPONDENT/ACCUSED**

**Criminal Revision (Suo motu) under Section 397/401 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.**

Appearance :

Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Public Prosecutor with Mr. S. K. Chettri
and Ms. Polin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutors for the State.

Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Wangmu Bhutia, Ms.
Tamanna Chettri, Ms. Sonam Choden Bhutia and Ms.
Malati Sharma, Advocates for the Respondent.

ORDER
(04.11.2015)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, CJ.

1. Respondent, Indra Kumar Pradhan, was tried for an offence punishable under Section 10 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short, POCSO Act) by the Special Judge, POCSO Act, East Sikkim at Gangtok in S.T. (POCSO) Case No. 04 of

2015. He was convicted by Judgment and Order dated 31.08.2015 and was sentenced to undergo S.I. for 5 (five) years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- with default sentence of S.I. for 3 (three) months under Section 10 of the said Act.

2. The Respondent was on bail during the trial. After awarding the above sentences to the Respondent, he filed an Application for suspension thereof under Section 437A of the Cr.P.C. It appears that the said Application was entertained by the Special Court and ultimately, the sentences awarded to him were suspended by the said Court and he was allowed to remain on the same bail bond saying it to be valid for a period of 6 (six) months. The Respondent, thereafter, filed Criminal Appeal No. 17 of 2015 against the Judgment and Order passed by the Special Court and prayed for suspension of sentences under Section 389 of the Cr.P.C. While the said Application was taken up for hearing on 19.10.2015, this Court when found that the Special Court, while exercising jurisdiction under Section 437A of the Cr.P.C., has suspended the above sentences of the Respondent, then it was directed that a *suo motu* revision be registered against the aforesaid Order dated 31.08.2015, which was registered and was placed for hearing.

3. I have heard Counsel for the parties.

4. A short question, which comes for consideration, is as to whether the Learned Special Judge was having jurisdiction to suspend

the above sentences imposed upon the Respondent under Section 437A of the Cr.P.C.? Section 437A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, reads as under: -

"437A. Bail to require accused to appear before next appellate Court. - (1) Before conclusion of the trial and before disposal of the appeal, the Court trying the offence or the Appellate Court, as the case may be, shall require the accused to execute bail bonds with sureties, to appear before the higher Court as and when such Court issues notice in respect of any appeal or petition filed against the judgment of the respective Court and such bail bonds shall be in force for six months.

(2) If such accused fails to appear, the bond stand forfeited and the procedure under section 446 shall apply."

5. The aforesaid section was inserted in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2008 (Act 5 of 2009) with effect from 31.12.2009. When we look into the background, it would appear that it was introduced by Clause 40 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bill, 2006.

6. The purpose of introducing Section 437A is clear from the language thereof that the trial Court or the appellate Court, as the case may be, shall require the accused to execute bail bonds with sureties for ensuring his appearance before the higher Court as and when such Court issues notice in any appeal or petition filed against the order passed in the matter of the accused and nothing more. Thus, the said section was introduced by the Legislature with the object that till finality is attained by the order passed by the trial Court or the appellate Court, the accused is legally bound to appear before the next higher Court, as the case may be, because, in many cases, we find that if the accused is acquitted and an appeal is filed against

the acquittal, he is not traced by the agencies for service of summon of the higher Court and the matter frustrates for years together for want of service.

7. The language of Section 437A also makes it clear that it has nothing to do with the suspension of sentence/sentences imposed upon the accused. The very opening sentence of Section 437A (1) clearly states that the Court has to pass an order under this section before conclusion of the trial or before disposal of the appeal, as the case may be, and it has nothing to do with the events, which take place after disposal of the trial like an event of suspension of sentence passed by the trial Court.

8. Section 437A simply talks about the bail to require the accused to appear before the higher Court. It never talks about the suspension of sentences awarded to him. Suspension of sentences pending the appeal and release of accused/appellant on bail are governed by Section 389 of the Cr.P.C. Sections 437A and 389 both operate in two independent fields. A perusal of both these sections would make it clear that the sentences imposed against the accused may be suspended by the appellate Court or the trial Court, as the case may be, under Section 389 but they can never be suspended under Section 437A.

9. The Special Court, thus, fell into error while exercising jurisdiction under Section 437A of the Cr.P.C. for suspending the

above sentences imposed upon the Respondent. The Order of suspension passed by the Special Court on 31.08.2015 under Section 437A of the Cr.P.C., therefore, is without jurisdiction. It is, accordingly, set aside. Necessary consequences shall be followed.

10. Let the copy of this Order be circulated to all the Subordinate Courts. A Copy shall also be kept in the records of Criminal Appeal No. 17 of 2015.



(Sunil Kumar Sinha)
Chief Justice
04.11.2015

Approved for Reporting : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
pm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Crl. A. No. 18 OF 2015

Shri. Suren Kumar Subba,
S/o Dhan Bahadur Subba (Limboo),
R/o Lower Kamrang,
South Sikkim.
Presently Central Prison at
Rongyek, East Sikkim. ----- Appellant.

-VERSUS-

State of Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

BEFORE

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA

02. 04.11.15 Present: Mr. B.K. Gupta, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel) for the
Appellant.

Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the
State.

Heard.

Reply on IA No. 1/2015 has been filed. Copy has
been supplied to Mr. Gupta.

List for consideration on 19.11.2015.


Chief Justice
04.11.2015

pm/jk Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CrI. A. No. 19 OF 2015

Shri. Kumar Ghimirey,
S/o Shri. Nim Das Ghimirey,
R/o Lower Namphing,
South Sikkim.
Presently Central Prison at
Rongyek, East Sikkim. ----- Appellant.

-VERSUS-

State of Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA**

02. 04.11.15 Present: Mr. B.K. Gupta, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel) for the
Appellant.

Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the
State.

Heard.

Reply on IA No. 1/2015 has been filed. Copy has
been supplied to Mr. Gupta.

List for consideration on 19.11.2015.


Chief Justice
04.11.2015

pm/jk Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WP (C) No. 14 OF 2015

Shri Lal Bahadur Chhetri,
Ipsing House,
Sichey Busty, Gangtok,
East Sikkim.

Petitioner

Versus

PCE-cum-Secretary,
Building s & Housing Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Nirman Bhawan, Gangtok, East Sikkim.

Respondent

**BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA**

10. 04.11.15 Present: Mr. A.K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Binita Chhetri, Advocates for the Petitioner.


Mr. S.K. Chhetri, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

None for Respondent No. 2.

Mr. Chhetri informs the Court that Mr. Karma Thinlay, who appears for the Central Government, is not available on account of some personal reason.

In view of the above, list for consideration on 23.11.2015.

In the meanwhile, Respondents may file their counter affidavits.


Chief Justice
04.11.2015

pm/jk Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL JURISDICTION

CM Appl. No. 44 OF 2015
(In WP(C) No.14/2015)

Shri Lall Bahadur Chhetri,
Ipsing House,
Sichey Busty, Gangtok,
East Sikkim.

Petitioner

Versus

PCE-cum-Secretary,
Building s & Housing Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Nirman Bhawan, Gangtok, East Sikkim.

Respondent

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA

10. 04.11.15 Present: Mr. A.K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Binita Chhetri, Advocates for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Chhetri, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

None for Respondent No. 2.

List along with W.P.(C) No. 14/2015 on 23.11.2015.


Chief Justice
04.11.2015

pm/jk

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRA ORDINARY JURISDICTION**

C. M. Appl. No. 206 OF 2015
(In W.P.(C) No. 14 OF 2015)

Shri. Lall Bahadur Chhetri,
Ipsing House,
Sichey Busty,
Gangtok, East Sikkim. ----- Applicants/Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

P. C. E - cum - Secretary,
Buildings & Housing Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Nirman Bhawan, Gangtok,
East Sikkim. ----- Respondents.


**BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA**

04. 04.11.15 Present: Mr. A.K. Upadhyaya, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Binita Chettri, Advocates for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Upadhyaya submits that he is not pressing this Application.

The same is, accordingly, dismissed as not pressed.


Chief Justice
04.11.2015

pm/jk
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Crl. Rev. P. No. 09 OF 2015

Court on Suo Moto,
State of Sikkim.

----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

Indira Kumar Pradhan.

----- Respondent.


PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Public Prosecutor, Mr. Karma
Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Mr. S. K. Chettri,
Asstt. Public Prosecutor and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt.
Public Prosecutor.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Tamanna Chhetri
Ms. Bindu Gurung, Ms. Malati Sharma, Ms. Wangmu
Bhutia and Ms. Sonam Choden Bhutia.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
02.	04.11.15	Cri. Rev. P. No.09/2015 Court on Suo Moto, State of Sikkim vs. Indra Kumar Pradhan BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA Present: Mr. S.K. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutors for the State. Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Wangmu Bhutia and Ms. Tamanna Chettri, Advocates for the Respondent. Order delivered in open Court vide, separate sheets.  Chief Justice 04.11.2015

pm/jk
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Crl. Rev. P. No. 09 OF 2015

Court on Sua Moto,
State of Sikkim. ----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

Indira Kumar Pradhan. ----- Respondent.

PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Public Prosecutor, Mr. Karma
Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Mr. S. K. Chettri,
Asstt. Public Prosecutor and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt.
Public Prosecutor.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)

FOR ----- Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Tamanna Chhetri
Ms. Bindu Gurung, Ms. Malati Sharma, Ms. Wangmu
Bhutia and Ms. Sonam Choden Bhutia.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		Cri. Rev. P. No.09/2015 Court on Suo Moto, State of Sikkim vs. Indra Kumar Pradhan BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SINHA 01. 02.11.15 Present: Mr. J.B. Pradhan, Public Prosecutor with Mr. S.K. Chettri and Ms. Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutors for the State. Mr. N. Rai, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Wangmu Bhutia, Ms. Tamanna Chettri, Ms. Sonam Choden Bhutia and Ms. Malati Sharma, Advocates for the Respondent. Arguments heard. Order reserved.  Chief Justice¹ 02.11.2015

pm/jk

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRAORDINARY JURISDICTION**

C.M. Appl. No. 106 of 2015
(In W.P.(C) No. 23 of 2015)

Smt. Usha Agarwala,
W/o Shri. Ashok Agarwala,
R/o 1/A Cornfield Road,
Kolkata,
West Bengal – 700 019. ----- Applicant/Petitioner.

-VERSUS-


1. Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Finance,
Department of Revenue
Government of India,
New Delhi.
2. The Assistant Director,
Directorate of Enforcement,
6th Floor, C. G. O. Complex,
D. F. Block, Salt Lake,
Kolkata – 700 064.
3. Directorate of Enforcement,
Through its Director,
6th Floor, C. G. O. Complex,
D. F. Block, Salt Lake,
Kolkata – 700 064. ----- Respondents.

APPELLANT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed Mr. Yogesh Kumar
Sharma and Mr. S. P. Bhutia.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
08.	02.11.2015	C.M. Appl. No. 106/2015 in W.P. (C) No. 23/2015 Usha Agarwala vs. Union of India & Ors. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay, Central Govt. Counsel with Mr. Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia, Advocate for the Respondent. List along with W.P.(C) No. 23/2015 on 26.2.2016.  Judge 02.11.2015
Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes / No bp		

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL EXTRAORDINARY JURISDICTION**

W. P. (C). No. 23 of 2015

Smt. Usha Agarwala,
W/o Shri. Ashok Agarwala,
R/o 1/A Cornfield Road,
Kolkata,
West Bengal - 700 019. ----- Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

1. Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Finance,
Department of Revenue
Government of India,
New Delhi.
2. The Assistant Director,
Directorate of Enforcement,
6th Floor, C. G. O. Complex,
D. F. Block, Salt Lake,
Kolkata - 700 064.
3. Directorate of Enforcement,
Through its Director,
6th Floor, C. G. O. Complex,
D. F. Block, Salt Lake,
Kolkata - 700 064. ----- Respondents.

APPELLANT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. Shakeel Ahmed Mr. Yogesh Kumar
Sharma and Mr. S. P. Bhutia.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
03.	02.11.2015	W.P. (C) No. 23/2015 Usha Agarwala vs. Union of India & Ors. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Central Govt. Counsel with Mr. Bhusah Nepal, Advocate for all Respondents. Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, has filed a Petition seeking adjournment of 4 (four) weeks' on account of the ill health of Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, Learned Counsel, who is conducting the matter. Prayer for time not opposed. Considered and time allowed. I.A. No. 1/2015 stands disposed of accordingly. Mr. Karma Thinlay, Learned Central Government Counsel, submits that he does not deem it necessary to file Counter Affidavit. Hence, pleadings are complete. In view of the forthcoming "Winter Vacation", list on 26.02.2016 for orders.  Judge 02.11.2015

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

bp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL JURISDICTION

WP(C) No. 33 OF 2015

1. Malvika Foundation,
B-II/66, M. C. I. E.,
Delhi-Mathura Road,
New Delhi- 110044,
Through its Trustee Sh. Suresh Sachdev.
 2. Eastern Institute for Integrated Learning in
Management University 8th Mile, Budang, Malabassey,,
West Sikkim – 737121,
Through Sh. Suresh Sachdev, Trustee of
Sponsoring body of Petitioner No.2.
- Petitioners

Versus

1. Human Resource Development Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Tashiling Secretariat,
Gangtok.
 2. State of Sikkim,
Through Chief Secretary,
Government of Sikkim.
Gangtok, Sikkim.
- Respondents

PETITIONER/
(ADVOCATE(S))

FOR ----- Ms. Chitra Sharma, Mr.Shakeel Ahmed, Mr.Yogesh
Kumar Sharma and Mr.S.P. Bhutia

RESPONDENT/
(ADVOCATE(S))

FOR ----- Mr.J.B.Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General Mr.Karma
Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate with Mr.S.K. Chettri and Ms.
Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocate

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
05.	02.11.2015	W.P. (C) No. 33/2015 Malvika Foundation & Anr. Vs. Human Resource Development Department & Anr. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Sr. Govt. Advocate with Ms. Pollin Rai, Asst. Govt. Advocate for the State-Respondents. Mr. Bhusan Nepal, Legal Retainer for the Human Resource Development Department. Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, has filed a Petition seeking adjournment of four weeks' on account of the ill health of Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, Learned Counsel, who is conducting the matter. Prayer for time not opposed. Considered and time allowed. I.A. No. 1/2015 stands disposed of accordingly. Rejoinder has not been filed today. Let the Rejoinder be filed within four weeks. List on 4.12.2015 for orders. Interim order dated 8.6.2015 to continue till further orders.  Judge 02.11.2015
Index Internet	Yes / No Yes / No	
bp		

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CM Appl. No. 133 OF 2015
(In WP(C) No.33/2015)

1. Malvika Foundation,
B-II/66, M. C. I. E.,
Delhi-Mathura Road,
New Delhi- 110044,
Through its Trustee Sh. Suresh Sachdev.
 2. Eastern Institute for Integrated Learning in
Management University 8th Mile, Budang, Malabassey,,
West Sikkim - 737121,
Through Sh. Suresh Sachdev, Trustee of
Sponsoring body of Petitioner No.2.
- Applicants

Versus

1. Human Resource Development Department,
Government of Sikkim,
Tashiling Secretariat,
Gangtok.
 2. State of Sikkim,
Through Chief Secretary,
Government of Sikkim.
Gangtok, Sikkim.
- Respondents

PETITIONER/
(ADVOCATE(S))
FOR ----- Ms. Chitra Sharma, Mr.Shakeel Ahmed, Mr.Yogesh
Kumar Sharma and Mr.S.P. Bhutia

RESPONDENT/
(ADVOCATE(S))
FOR ----- Mr.J.B.Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General Mr.Karma
Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate with Mr.S.K. Chettri and Ms.
Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocate

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
05.	02.11.2015	C.M. Appl. No. 133/2015 in W.P. (C) No. 33/2015 Malvika Foundation & Anr. Vs. Human Resource Development Department & Anr. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. S.P. Bhutia, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Sr. Govt. Advocate with Ms. Pollin Rai, Asst. Govt. Advocate for the State-Respondents. Mr. Bhusan Nepal, Legal Retainer for the Human Resource Development Department. ----- List along with W.P. (C) No.33/2015 on 4.12.2015.  Judge 02.11.2015
Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes / No bp		


MAC App. No. 22 OF 2015

-VERSUS-

- PETITIONER
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR ----- Mr. Kumar Sharma.

RESPONDENT
ADVOCATE (S)
FOR -----

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
01.	02.11.2015	MAC. APP. No. 22/2015 Mani Kumar Rai vs. Dilliram Sharma & Ors. BEFORE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI MADAN RAI, JUDGE Present: Mr. Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner. Heard. Admit the Appeal. Issue notice to the Respondents. Records be called for from the Learned Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, South Sikkim at Namchi. List for orders on 3.12.2015.  Judge 02.11.2015
Index Internet bp	Yes / No Yes / No	