

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION

Crl. Rev. P. No. 03 OF 2015


1. Dewash Rana,
S/o Shri J. Rana,
At present R/o Sichey,
Gangtok,
East Sikkim.

2. Ashesh Subba,
S/o Shri J. Rana,
At present R/o Sichey,
Gangtok,
East Sikkim. ----- Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

State of Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

Case No.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
02.	01.06.15	Crl. Rev. P. No. 03/2015 Dewash Rana & Anr. vs. State of Sikkim BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S. K. SINHA Present: Mr. Jorgay Namka, Ms. Zola Megi and Ms. Pema Bhutia, Advocates for the Petitioners. Petitioners are also present in person. Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State-Respondent. ... Delay condoned in Crl. M. Appl. No. 26/2015. Heard on admission. Mr. Jorgay Namka, learned counsel for the Petitioners, after arguing for some time, seeks permission to withdraw this Petition filed against the order of framing of charges dated 15.11.2014. Permission granted. Crl. Rev. P. No. 03/2015, therefore, is disposed of as withdrawn.  Chief Justice 01.06.2015

pm/jk
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION

Crl. M. Appl. No. **26** OF 2015
(In Crl. Rev. P. No. 03 of 2015)


1. Dewash Rana,
S/o Shri J. Rana,
At present R/o Sichey,
Gangtok,
East Sikkim.

2. Ashesh Subba,
S/o Shri J. Rana,
At present R/o Sichey,
Gangtok,
East Sikkim. ----- Applicants/Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

State of Sikkim. ----- Respondent.

Case No.....

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
02.	01.06.15	Crl. M.A. No. 26/2015 in Crl. Rev. P. No. 03/2015 Dewash Rana & Anr. vs. State of Sikkim BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE S. K. SINHA Present: Mr. Jorgay Namka, Ms. Zola Megi and Ms. Pema Bhutia, Advocates for the Petitioners. Petitioners are also present in person. Mr. S.K. Chettri, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State-Respondent. ... Heard. This is an Application filed for condonation of delay in filing the Revision. The Revision is barred by 114 days of limitation. On due consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the grounds taken in the Petition, I am of the view that the Petitioners have shown sufficient cause for not filing the Revision in time and the delay in filing the Revision deserves to be condoned. Crl. Misc. Appl. No. 26/2015, therefore, is allowed and the delay in filing the Revision is condoned. Crl. Misc. Application stands finally disposed of.  Chief Justice 01.06.2015

pm/jk Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No