

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

CIVIL JURISDICTION

WP(C) No.....19.....of 2012..

Anil Kumar Gupta

Appellants (s)-
Petitioner (s)

Versus

Union of India & Ors.


Respondent (s)
Opposite party (s)

-Appellant

For _____ Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Ms. Manita Pradhan and
Petitioner Mr. Deepen Pradhan
(Advocate (s))

Respondent

For _____ Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General with
~~Opposite Party -~~ Mr. Karma Thinlay, Govt. Advocate and Mr. S. K.
(Advocate (s)) Chettri, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for State Respondent

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
01.	22.05.2012	<p style="text-align: center;">BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE.</p> <p>Present : Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">***</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Mr. Sudesh Joshi, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, seeks for time to bring certain amendments to the Writ Petition.</p> <p>Considered and allowed.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2012.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Judge 22-05-2012</p>

Serial No.	Date	Orders (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE.

02. 31.05.2012

Present: Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Central Govt. Standing Counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 4.

Mr. S. K. Chettri, Assistant Govt. Advocate for the State - respondent nos. 5 to 7.


Mr. Sudesh Joshi, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, prays for further time till 12.06.2012 to file necessary application for amendment to the Writ Petition.

No objections.

Considered and allowed.

Let the amendment application be filed on or before 12.06.2012 as prayed for.


List on 12.06.2012.


Judge
31.05.2012

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

at

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
03.	12.06.2012	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>BEFORE</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE</p> <p>Present : Mr. Sudesh Joshi, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Central Government Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. Mr. S. K. Chettri, Assistant Government Advocate for the State-Respondent Nos.5 to 7.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">----</p> <p>Mr. Sudesh Joshii, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset prays for permission to withdraw the Writ Petition in view of a large number of errors that have crept in and additional facts that are required to be incorporated therein. He also seeks liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action.</p> <p>Mr. Karma Thinly Namgyal, Learned Central Government Counsel and Mr. S. K. Chettri, Learned Assistant Government Counsel for the State-Respondents have no objections to the prayers.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Considered and allowed.</p> <p>The Petitioner is allowed to withdraw the Writ Petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the self same cause of action.</p> <p>This Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  Judge 12-06-2012 </div> <p>Index : Yes/No Internet : Yes/No</p>
ds		