



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Writ Contempt No. 733/2021

1. Chandra Kishore Thanvi S/o Shri Bhom Raj Thanvi, Aged About 41 Years, Flat No. B-71/b-2, Vinayak Vihar Colony Near B.r. Birla School, P.f. Office Road, Jodhpur.
2. Natwar Vyas S/o Shri Vijay Shankar Vyas, Aged About 39 Years, Resident Of B-3, Vinayak Vihar Colony Near B.r. Birla School, Pf Office Road, Jodhpur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Principal Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Shri Indrajit Singh, Collector, Jodhpur.
3. Shri Arun Kumar Purohit, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, South, Jodhpur.
4. Shri Qummer Ui Zaman Choudhary, Commissioner, Jodhpur Development Authority, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. C.S. Kotwani
Mr. Falgun Buch

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rajesh Panwar, Sr. Advocate
assisted by Mr. Ayush Gehlot

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**

Order

18/08/2022

By the order under contempt dated 03.05.2018, the Writ Petition (PIL) No.6544/2017 filed by one Shri Arun Kumar Mohta was disposed of requiring the Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur to ensure that no premises is used as a marriage garden/place without a license in contravention of the Bye Laws of 2015. The



Municipal Corporation was directed to seal the premises operating without licenses.

The issue which is raised in this contempt petition is regarding a marriage place by the name of "Amritam". Shri Rajesh Panwar, Senior Advocate representing the Corporation has filed reply with a pertinent assertion that license to operate the premises as a marriage place has been granted in favour of "Amritam".

Learned counsel Shri Falgun Buch submits that the permission has not been granted in strict compliance of the Bye-Laws of 2015. For that purpose, the petitioners would have to challenge the order dated 24.03.2022 by availing the suitable remedy.

Shri Buch submits that a writ petition has already been filed in this regard.

In view of the above development, we feel that the respondents have purged the contempt. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings are disposed of. Rule is discharged.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J **(SANDEEP MEHTA),J**

11-Sudhir Asopa/-