



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11882/2020

Kalu Ram Joshi S/o Harman Joshi, Aged About 32 Years, R/o
Ward No. 20, Sahawa Tehsil Taranagar District Churu, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary Cum Commissioner, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Pratapgarh.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu.
4. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Arnod, Distt. Pratapgarh.
5. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Dalot, Distt. Pratapgarh.
6. The Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Sardarshahar, Distt. Churu.
7. The District Education Officer, Pratapgarh.
8. The District Education Officer, Churu.
9. The Chief Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Arnod, Distt. Pratapgarh.
10. The Chief Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar, District Churu.
11. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Mehrasar Upadhiyan Block Sardarshahar District Churu.
12. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Ninor Block Arnod District Pratapgarh.
13. Headmaster, Government Primary School, Lakhniya Post Nihor Block Arnod District Pratapgarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shreekant Verma

**JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA****Order****12/11/2020**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by the Coordinate Bench decision dated 14.03.2019 rendered in the case of *Ashok Kumar Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors* (SB Civil Writ Petition No.15411/2018) and in the case of *Dhanraj Meena Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors* (SB Civil Writ Petition No.12846/2017), decided on 15.01.2018.

In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is also allowed in terms of the judgments rendered in the case of *Ashok Kumar Sharma* (supra) and *Dhanraj Meena* (supra) and it is directed that the respondents while dealing with the case of the petitioner pertaining to their pay fixation etc. would follow the provisions of Rules 24 & 26 of the RSR as per law.

The stay application is also disposed of.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

112-A.Arora/-