

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-17322-2023

Date of Decision : 23.08.2023

Vishal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 17.08.2023, the following order was passed :

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner
inter alia contends that candidature of the
petitioner has been rejected on the ground that
in the matriculation certificate surname
‘Sharma’ is not mentioned whereas in the
application he has mentioned his name with
surname ‘Sharma’.*

*Learned counsel for the respondents
seeks time to get instructions.*

Adjourned to 23.08.2023.”

2. Mr.Piyush Khanna, Advocate on instructions submits that the
candidature of the petitioner has been rejected in view of clause 8 (x) of
advertisement dated 27.01.2023. The rejection is system generated and

there was no manual interference. The said clause is reproduced as under:

“At the time of verification of documents upon shortlisting of an applicant If the data/marks fed In the Portal are found mismatched with original documents his/her candidature will be rejected even if there is small spelling error in name of applicant, father/mother name etc.”

3. Mr. Khanna, Advocate submits that respondent would reconsider claim of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within two weeks from today.
4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of.

23.08.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No