

MEENA RANI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate,
Mr. Akash Gahlawat, Advocate,
Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate, and
Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, DAG, Haryana.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is senior to Mukesh Kumar, Sandeep, Sonu and Ravi Kumar, who have been promoted to the post of Lab Technician in the pay structure of FPL-6 of the revised pay rules of 2016, vide office order dated 21.07.2023, Annexure P-7. The petitioner's case, however, is under consideration and as per the stand taken by the respondents, the only reason for not giving her due promotion is Department's inability to get her Diploma in Medical Lab Technology verified from the Council of Allied Science of India, which has awarded the same, as it is the essential requirement. The letter written to the Council by the third respondent/College has been returned undelivered by the postal department with remarks that the address was incomplete. It is also contended that the petitioner herself has visited the Council for the purpose of verification of her diploma. She came to know that the Council has been closed and it can only respond to the employer in case it is contacted through e-mail, admission@councilofalliedscience.com.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, contends that the College itself has deputed an official for physical verification of the petitioner's diploma, and the claim will be decided within seven working days of receiving the verification. He also contends that efforts would be made to get verification done through e-mail at the address provided by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner will visit the office of third respondent and provide the necessary information for the purpose of verification.
4. On the request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 24.03.2025.
5. To be taken up immediately after urgent list.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

03.03.2025
Maninder